

Efficiency of Large Scale Manufacturing in Pakistan: A Production Frontier Approach

Tariq Mahmood Ejaz Ghani Musleh-ud Din

Efficiency of Large Scale Manufacturing in Pakistan: A Production Frontier Approach

Tariq Mahmood

Research Economist
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

Ejaz Ghani

Senior Research Economist Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

and

Musleh-ud Din

Chief of Research Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad



PAKISTAN INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPMENT ECONOMICS ISLAMABAD

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise—without prior permission of the author(s) and or the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, P. O. Box 1091, Islamabad44000.

© Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, 2007.

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics Islamabad, Pakistan

E-mail: publications@pide.org.pk Website: http://www.pide.org.pk Fax: +92-51-9210886

Designed, composed, and finished at the Publications Division, PIDE.

CONTENTS

		Page
Al	ostract	v
1. In	troduction	1
2. Re	eview of Literature	1
3. M	ethodology and Data	5
4. Er	npirical Results	7
5. Co	oncluding Remarks	10
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}}$	ppendix	11
Re	eferences	14
	List of Tables	
Table 1.	Regression Results for the Year 1995-96	8
Table 2.	Regression Results for the Year 2000-01	8
Table 3.	Industry-wise Mean Efficiency Scores	9

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the efficiency of the large scale manufacturing sector of Pakistan using the stochastic production frontier approach. A stochastic production frontier is estimated for two periods—1995-96 and 2000-01—for 101 industries at the 5-digit PSIC. The results show that there has been some improvement in the efficiency of the large scale manufacturing sector, though the magnitude of improvement remains small. The results are mixed at the disaggregated level: whereas a majority of industrial groups have gained in terms of technical efficiency, some industries have shown deterioration in their efficiency levels.

JEL classification: D24, L6, O14, P27

Keywords: Manufacturing Industries, Technical Efficiency, Stochastic

Frontier Analysis, Data Envelopment Analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

The large scale manufacturing sector in Pakistan has gained increasing prominence over the years with its share in output rising to about 13 percent in 2005-06 from 5.67 percent in 1959-60. The sector has operated amid varying policy environments ranging from outright import substitution in the early years to a more deregulated and liberal environment in the recent years driven largely by concerns to improve the efficiency of the industrial sector which is critical for attaining greater competitiveness. While industrial and trade policy reforms in recent years have exposed domestic enterprises to greater internal and external competition, most of these enterprises continue to seek state patronage and have yet to re-position themselves to compete effectively in the global market place. Furthermore, the trade policy still has an import substitution bias for certain critical sectors whose imports are subject to tariff peaks and this raises concerns on their efficiency.

This study aims to assess the efficiency of large scale manufacturing sector in Pakistan using the production frontier approach. Section 2 reviews the literature while Section 3 sets out the methodology and discusses data employed in the study. Section 4 analyses empirical findings, and Section 5 concludes the discussion.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Since the seminal work of Aigner, Lovell, and Schmidt (1977), who first proposed the stochastic production frontier technique, a growing body of literature has used the approach to estimate industrial efficiency. Taymaz and Saatci (1997) analyse the extent and importance of technical progress and efficiency in Turkish manufacturing industries. The rate and direction of technical change in three industries—textiles, cement, and motor vehicles—are estimated by using panel data on plants for the period 1987–92, using Cobb-Douglas, and translog stochastic frontier production functions. In addition to traditional inputs like labour, raw materials, energy and capital inputs etc., other factors like sub-contracting, advertising intensity, ownership type are also included in the analysis. The results show that there are significant inter-sectoral differences in the rates of technical change and the factors influencing technical efficiency at the plant level. Subcontracting is found to improve the efficiency of user firms in the textile, cement, and motor vehicles industries. The ownership type and the source of technology are found to be important determinants of

¹Pakistan Economic Survey (Various Issues).

plant-level efficiency. Other important factors in efficiency are legal status of the firm, product characteristics, and regional agglomeration. The study finds a positive relationship between the plant size and technical efficiency in the cement and motor vehicles industries.

Ikhsan-Modjo (2006) examines the patterns of total factor productivity growth and technical efficiency changes in Indonesia's manufacturing industries over the period 1988-2000. The study uses the data incorporating both the liberalisation years and the crisis/post crisis years sourced from an annual panel survey of manufacturing establishments. Following the approach of Battese and Coelli (1992), a translog frontier production function is estimated. Gross output is regressed on inputs like the cost of capital, wages, intermediate inputs and energy, and the study finds that technical progress is the most important factor in explaining TFP growth in the Indonesian manufacturing sector.

Tripathy (2006) examines efficiency gap between foreign and domestic firms in eleven manufacturing industries of India during 1990-2000. Two different techniques, i.e. stochastic frontier and data envelopment analysis are used to measure efficiency of the firms. The study assumes a Cobb-Douglas technology and estimates stochastic production and cost frontier in each industry to measure technical efficiency and cost efficiency of each firm as well as to obtain some inference on allocative efficiency. The stochastic frontier estimations show that generally foreign firms are technically efficient with significant mean difference as compared with the domestic firms. The data envelop technique comes at the same conclusions albeit with a few exceptions. The average cost efficiency scores in terms of stochastic frontier show mixed results: it indicates that there is a weak evidence for foreign firms to be allocatively inefficient in drugs and marmaceuticals as compared with the domestic firms since the former are on average technically efficient but cost inefficient. On the other hand the data envelop results show that foreign firms are generally more efficient than domestic firms in terms of allocative efficiency. The evidence indicates that foreign firms tend to use more labour than capital as compared with domestically owned firms and hence the study concludes that the foreign firms are not using an inappropriate technology.

Alvarez and Crespi (2003) explore differences in technical efficiency in Chilean manufacturing firms. The authors use plant survey data and apply non-parametric frontier Data Envelopment Analysis. A stratified random sample is employed and firms are classified according to ISIC (3-digits) classification. It is found that the average efficiency of the sample is 65 percent with a large heterogeneity among sectors, and that the professional and scientific equipment sector exhibits 91 percent efficiency, while agro-industries and textiles have much lower efficiency levels at 49 percent and 34 percent respectively. Efficiency estimates are further used in regression analysis to explore the factors influencing efficiency levels, and the study finds no relationship between firm size and level of efficiency. The key attributes of the efficient firms are found to

be access to credit, labour skills, experience and education level of firm owner, and orientation to international markets etc.

Njikam (2003) assesses the effects of trade reform on firm-specific technical efficiencies in Cameroon manufacturing using firm-level balanced panel data for the period from 1988-89 to 1997-98. This period is further subdivided into two sub-periods: the pre trade reform period covering the years 1988-89 to 1991-92, and the post trade reform period covering the years 1994-95–1997-98. A Cobb–Douglas stochastic production frontier is estimated for each industrial sector. Results indicate that relative to the pre-reform scores, the post-reform average technical efficiency increased in six of eight industries and in total manufacturing. The pre-reform firm-specific technical efficiencies decreased on average at the annual rate of 0.76 percent, while the post-reform firm-specific technical efficiencies increased on average at an annual rate of 1.4 percent. The study concludes that the trade reform provided an enabling environment for improving firm-level technical efficiency.

In the context of Pakistan's economy, Burki and Khan (2005) analyse the implications of allocative efficiency on resource allocation and energy substitutability. The study covers the period 1969-70 to 1990-91 and utilises pooled time series data from Pakistan's large scale manufacturing sector to estimate a generalised translog cost function. The study also computes factor demand elasticities and elasticities of substitution by using the parameters of the estimated generalised cost function. The results indicate strong evidence of allocative inefficiency leading to over- or under-utilisation of resources and higher cost of production. Input-mix inefficiency takes the form of overutilisation of raw material and capital vis-à-vis labour and energy. The study finds that allocative inefficiency of firms has on average decreased the demand for labour by 0.19 percent and increased the demand for energy by 0.12 percent. Own price elasticities of factors of production imply that the demand for capital is much more sensitive to its own price than the demand for labour. However, the elasticity of substitution between all factors is found out to be positive, which implies that they are substitutes. This is attributed to installation of new but more energy-efficient capital. The new machinery and plants, although more energy-intensive and raw material saving, leave the share of capital and labour unchanged.

Some studies have utilised the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to explore the question of industrial efficiency. Using a sample of 44 Indian pharmaceutical companies for the period 1992 to 2000, Saranga and Phani (2004) attempt to investigate whether internal efficiencies have any role to play in the growth of companies in a constantly changing dynamic environmental context. Companies are grouped according to three different criteria including the type of ownership, type business, and firm size. The purpose is to see how the companies in different categories fare in terms of efficiency ratings. Inputs selected are cost of production and selling, cost of material, and cost of manpower whereas outputs are profit

margin, net sales, and exports. The results show that size of a company does not dictate the internal efficiency ratings; however indigenous firms, which are in the business of both bulk and formulations, have an edge over MNCs and over firms with only formulations business.

Jajri and Rahmah (2006) analyse trend of technical efficiency, technological change and TFP growth in the Malaysian manufacturing sector. The data come from the Industrial Manufacturing Survey of 1984 to 2000 collected by the Department of Statistics, Malaysia. The authors use Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to calculate output-oriented Malmquist indices of Total Factor Productivity growth, technological change, and technical efficiency change. Technical efficiency change (catch-up) measures the change in efficiency between current (t) and next (t+1) periods, while the technological change (innovation) captures the shift in frontier technology. Seven industries are chosen viz. food, beverages and tobacco; textile, wearing apparel and leather; wood and wood products; paper and paper products; chemicals, petroleum, coal, rubber and plastic products; non-metallic mineral and iron and steel products industries. Input variables are capital and labour whereas value added is used as output. It is found that Total Factor Productivity Growth is mainly driven by technical efficiency. The industries that experienced high technical efficiency are food, wood, chemical and iron products. Analysis by industry shows that there is no positive relationship between capital intensity and efficiency, technological change and Total Factor Productivity growth.

Lee and Kim (2006) analyse the effects of research and development (R&D) on Total Factor Productivity growth in manufacturing industries, using a sample of 14 OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) countries² for the years 1982-1993. With the assumption of constant returns to scale technology, the Malmquist Productivity Index and is components are computed using two traditional inputs i.e. labour and capital; then the exercise is repeated with the stock of R & D capital as an additional input. Inclusion of R & D capital is found to be statistically significant and the introduction of R & D capital as an additional input reduces the TFP measures on average by 10 percent. This is attributed to "costly" R & D capital formation as opposed to "costless" productivity growth when only labour and fixed capital are considered. It is also found that it is technological progress rather than efficiency catch up that is driven by the accumulation of R & D capital. Spillovers of R & D capital are tested using regression analysis. Two types of spillovers are considered viz. domestic R & D spillovers across industries and international spillovers within a single industry. Domestic R & D capital stocks and foreign R & D capital stocks for different industries are used for this purpose. It is found that productivity gains in manufacturing industries depend significantly on R & D spillovers, especially for an economy that is more open to international trade.

²The sample consists of Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States.

3. METHODOLOGY AND DATA

This study utilises the Stochastic Frontier (SF) technique, originally proposed by Aigner, Lovell, and Schmidt (1977), to estimate a production frontier which will serve as bench mark to estimate the technical efficiencies of various industries. The study covers 101 industries for the years 1995-96 and 2000-01. So, it is a comparative study of two cross-sections.

The Stochastic Production Frontier is assumed to be of Cobb-Douglas form with a composite error term:

$$\ln Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln K_i + \beta_2 \ln L_i + \beta_3 \ln IC_i + \beta_4 \ln NIC_i + v_i - u_i \qquad i = 1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot N$$

Where: Y_i is output of the *i*th industry,

 K_i is the amount of capital used in the *i*th industry,

 L_i is the average number of persons engaged in the ith industry,

 IC_i is the industrial cost in the *i*th industry,

 NIC_i is the non-industrial cost in ith industry,

 v_i is a component of the error term with normal distribution i.e. $v_i \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2)$

 u_i is a component of error term with half-normal distribution³ i.e. $u_i \sim N^+(0, \sigma_u^2)$

N is the total number of industries.

The symmetric error term v_i is the usual noise component to allow for random factors like measurement errors, weather, strikes etc. The non-negative error term u_i is the technical inefficiency component. The Ordinary Least Square estimation of the above model provides consistent estimates of β_i , but not of β_0 . More importantly, we cannot obtain efficiency estimates through OLS [Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000)]. This issue is resolved by applying Maximum Likelihood estimation technique to obtain consistent parameter estimates as well as efficiency scores.⁴

Aigner, Lovell, and Schmidt (1977) derived the likelihood function of the model based upon two parameters, $s_s^2 = s_u^2 + s_v^2$ and $? = s_u/s_v$ (0= ?=8). Battese and Corra (1977) replaced ? with $? = s_u^2/s_s^2$ (0=?=1). The latter parameterisation will be used in this paper. The likelihood function under this parameterisation is given by:

$$\log(L) = -(N/2)\log(p/2) - (N/2)\log(s_s^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\log[1-\Phi(z_i)]$$

³Some writers have used different assumptions about distribution of u_i . Afriat (1972) assumes u_i to have a gamma distribution; Stevenson (1980) uses truncated normal distribution; and Greene (1990) uses two-parameter gamma distribution.

⁴The computer programme FRONTIER version 4.1, written by Tim Coelli, is used to obtain parameter estimates as well as the efficiency scores.

$$-(1/2 s_s^2) \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1 y_i - 1 x_i' \beta)^2$$

where

 $z_i = [(1 \ y_i \ -1 \ x' \beta)/\sigma_s] \sqrt{\gamma/(1-\gamma)}$ and $\Phi(.)$ is the distribution function of a Standard normal variable.

The estimated model forms the basis for computing a predictor of technical efficiencies. Battese and Coelli (1988) suggest the following predictor of technical efficiencies:

$$TE_i = E[\exp(-u_i) \mid e_i]$$

Where $e_i = v_i - u_i$ and E is the expectation operator. The above expression measures how far a firm lies below the frontier after allowing for random errors.

The next step is to check the significance of inefficiencies estimated by the model, i.e. to test the null hypothesis of no inefficiencies against the alternative hypothesis that inefficiencies are present. As suggested by Coelli (1995), a one-sided likelihood ratio test with a mixed chi-square distribution $(\frac{1}{2}\chi_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_1^2)$ is appropriate here. Therefore, the null hypotheses is rejected if $LR > \chi_1^2(2\alpha)$.

The data for the year 1995-96 are obtained from the Census of Manufacturing Industries (1995-96),⁵ whereas data for 2000-01 are obtained from the summary tables prepared by the Federal Bureau of Statistics.⁶ In all, 101 large-scale manufacturing industries are selected. The excluded industries are those which either do not have common industry codes or fall in some "other" category. Two industries, viz. Matches and Plastic Footwear, are excluded due to their negative value added in the year 1995-96.

The following is a brief description of the variables:

Output

CMI reports value added as well as contribution to GDP. Value added reported in CMI does not allow for non-industrial costs. So we have used contribution to GDP as output which equals value of production minus industrial cost minus net non-industrial cost.

Capital

Capital consists of land and building, plant and machinery and other fixed assets which are expected to have a productive life of more than one year and are in use by the establishment for the manufacturing activity.

⁵This is the latest available published CMI.

⁶http://www.statpak.gov.pk/depts/fbs/statistics/manufacturing_industries/cmi_2001.html.

Labour

Labour includes employees, working proprietors, unpaid family workers and home workers.

Industrial Cost

Industrial cost consists of cost of raw materials, fuels and electricity consumed, payments for work done, payments for repairs and maintenance and cost of goods purchased for resale.

Non-industrial Cost

Non-industrial Cost consists of cost of payments for transport, insurance payments, copy rights and royalties, postage, telegraph and telephone charges, printing and stationery costs, legal and professional expenses, advertising and selling expenses, traveling expenses and other such expenses incurred by the establishment.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

The model is estimated by maximum likelihood method for both the periods and the results are reported in Tables 1 and 2. All variables are statistically significant for both years except that of labour, which is insignificant for the year 2000-01. A possible explanation may be that the presence of rigidities in terms of worker lay off⁷ may prevent firms from an optimal utilisation of the labour input which may become redundant owing to the adoption of more efficient technologies. That such technological developments have indeed taken place is corroborated by Burki and Khan (2004) who note that "traditional labour intensive technologies have gradually been replaced with more state of the art efficient technologies". The magnitude of the parameter gamma is 0.72 in 1995-96 and 0.64 in 2000-01; an indication that inefficiencies are the major component of the composite error terms in both the periods.

The likelihood ratio test of one-sided error gives a value of 4.3 for the year 1995-96 (significant at 95 percent) and 1.3 for the year 2000-01 (significant at 88.5 percent); implying that the use of stochastic frontier is justified.

Overall, the mean efficiency score increased from 0.58 in 1995-96 to 0.65 in 2000-01, indicating an improvement in efficiency of the large scale manufacturing sector⁸ (see appendix for detailed efficiency scores). The results are, however, mixed at the disaggregated level. Table 3 reports the mean efficiency scores of various industries at the 3-digit level. In 1995-96, the top five industries in terms of their efficiency levels included tobacco

⁷Due, perhaps, to trade unions and strict labour laws etc.

⁸It is important to note that the efficiency scores in each period measure technical efficiency in relation to the respective frontier in each period.

Table 1

Regression Results for the Year 1995-96

Variables	Coefficients	t-values
Constant	0.82	1.56*
Capital	0.18	2.30**
Labour	0.3	2.73**
Industrial Costs	0.36	3.42**
Non-Industrial Costs	0.28	2.52**
sigma-squared $(s_s^2 = s_u^2 + s_v^2)$	0.96	4.20**
Gamma $ (? = s_u^2 / s_s^2) $	0.72	5.26**
LR test of the one-sided error = 4.2997		
with number of restrictions $= 1$		

^{*}Significant at 0.10 level of significance.

Table 2

Regression Results for the Year 2000-01

Variables	Coefficients	t-values
Constant	0.26	0.53
Capital	0.36	5.19**
Labour	0.08	0.72
Industrial Costs	0.5	5.73**
Non-Industrial Costs	0.1	1.54*
sigma-squared $(s_s^2 = s_u^2 + s_v^2)$	0.62	3.34**
Gamma $(? = s_u^2/s_s^2)$	0.64	2.92^{**}
LR test of the one-sided error = 1.3446		
with number of restrictions $= 1$		

^{*}Significant at 0.10 level of significance.

manufacturing, petroleum refining, other non-metallic mineral products, other manufacturing, electrical machinery and supplies. Among this group, while the level of efficiency of petroleum refining and electrical machinery and supplies improved marginally in 2000-01, the efficiency levels of tobacco manufacturing, other non-metallic mineral products, and other manufacturing declined. The five least efficient industries turned out to be sports and athletic goods, surgical instruments, leather and leather products, manufacturing of textiles, and wearing apparel. It is important to note that all of these industries are export-oriented industries. Their low level of efficiency probably explains why the government has all along provided a host of incentives to such export-oriented industries i.e. to offset their inherent inefficiencies.

^{**} Significant at 0..01 level of significance.

^{**}Significant at 0.01 level of significance.

Table 3

Industry-wise Mean Efficiency Scores

Industry	1995-96	2000-01	%Change
Tobacco Manufacturing	0.88	0.87	-0.84
Petroleum Refining	0.74	0.76	3.70
Other Non-metallic Mineral Products	0.72	0.67	-6.39
Other Manufacturing	0.71	0.61	-14.14
Electrical Machinery and Supplies	0.69	0.70	0.08
Pottry, China and Earthware	0.68	0.65	-2.40
Industrial Chemicals	0.66	0.72	8.45
Other Chemical Products	0.66	0.64	-4.25
Printing and Publishing	0.66	0.73	24.33
Glass and Glass Products	0.66	0.56	-15.10
Paper and Paper Products	0.65	0.66	2.19
Drugs and Pharmaceutical Products	0.63	0.67	8.76
Iron and Steel	0.60	0.75	25.34
Fabricated Metal Product	0.59	0.67	13.86
Rubber Products	0.57	0.73	30.25
Food Manufacturing	0.56	0.58	16.11
Transport Equipment	0.56	0.53	-6.79
Non-Ferrous Metal Industries	0.54	0.78	46.69
Non-electrical Machinery	0.49	0.62	30.61
Ginning and Baling of Fibre	0.48	0.73	51.30
Wearing Apparel	0.47	0.56	18.28
Manufacturing of Textiles	0.46	0.59	39.47
Leather and Leather Products	0.41	0.72	81.09
Surgical Instruments	0.30	0.58	90.44
Sports and Athletic Goods	0.30	0.77	154.58

The situation is somewhat different in 2000-01, when sports and athletic goods, non-ferrous metals, and iron and steel made into the top five efficient industries. Most remarkable is the turnaround shown by the sports and athletic goods which earlier ranked among the least five efficient industries. Among the five least efficient industries are transport equipment, wearing apparel, glass and glass products, surgical instruments, and food manufacturing. It is noteworthy that the textiles and manufacturing is only marginally better off as compared with 1995-96 lying a notch above the 5 least efficient industries.

The efficiency scores of a diverse range of industries including textiles manufactures, food manufacturing, industrial chemicals, iron and steel, drugs and pharmaceutical products, electrical machinery and supplies, and non-electrical machinery etc. indicate improvement in efficiency over time. ⁹ It is

⁹A comparison of efficiency scores across two different production frontiers is akin to Battese, Rao, and O'Donnell (2004) who compare efficiency levels of different groups in terms of their own frontier as well as a metafrontier.

important to note that while efficiency levels have improved, big gaps remain in terms of the location of firms from the frontier: for example, in 2000-01, the mean efficiency score ranged from 0.53 (transport equipment) to 0.87 (tobacco manufacturing). This implies that there is considerable room for improvement in the efficiency levels of these industries.

There has been a decline in efficiency of other non-metallic mineral products, tobacco manufacturing, transport equipment, other chemical products, pottery, china and earthenware, and glass and glass products. The highest decline is recorded by glass and glass products (15.10 percent) followed by transport equipment (6.79 percent), other non-metallic products (6.39 percent), other chemical products (4.25 percent), pottery, china and earthenware (2.4 percent) and tobacco manufacturing (0.84 percent).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper has examined the efficiency of the large scale manufacturing sector of Pakistan using the stochastic production frontier approach. A stochastic production frontier is estimated for two periods—1995-96 and 2000-01—for 101 industries at the PSIC 5digit level. The results show that there has been some improvement in the efficiency of the large scale manufacturing sector, though the magnitude of improvement remains small. The results are mixed at the disaggregated level: whereas a majority of industrial groups have gained in terms of technical efficiency, some industries have shown deterioration in their efficiency levels including, for example, transport equipment, glass and glass products, other non-metallic mineral products, and other manufacturing. There may be several factors that may have caused a decline in the technical efficiency of such firms, not least the trade policy environment that may have shielded such industries from external competition. Further research may focus on the specific determinants of technical efficiency including the macroeconomic and trade policy environment.

APPENDIXEfficiencies Scores at Industry Level

Section Cotton spinning Cotton spinning Cotton waving Cotton waving		Efficiencies scores	1995-96	2000-01	%Change
32011 Cotton spinning	Group 1	Manuf		2000 01	70 Change
32012			0	0.57	47.60
32020	32012	1 0	0.43	0.48	11.50
32040 Silk and art silk textiles 0.49 0.62 28.25	32020		0.59	0.66	
32050 Narrow fabrics 0.27 0.84 32070 Finishing of textiles 0.38 0.50 33.08 32120 Made up textile goods 0.44 0.48 8.60 32130 Knitting mills 0.33 0.54 62.39 32150 Cordage, rope and twine 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.65 0.59 39.47 0.66 0.59 39.47 0.66 0.59 39.47 0.67 0.63 11.16 0.66 0.59 39.47 0.67 0.63 11.16 0.66 0.59 39.47 0.67 0.63 0.50 0.51 0.63 0.51 0.63 0.54 0.59 39.47 0.65 0.51 0.65 0.6	32030	Jute textiles	0.52	0.56	7.63
32070 Finishing of textiles 0.38 0.50 33.08 32120 Made up textile goods 0.44 0.48 8.60 32130 Knitting mills 0.33 0.54 62.39 32150 Cordage, rope and twine 0.61 0.61 -0.86 32160 Spooling and thread ball making 0.57 0.63 11.16 Average (Group I) 0.46 0.59 39.47 Group 2 Food Manufacturing 31121 Dairy products 0.56 0.51 -8.82 31122 Ice cream 0.60 0.78 29.46 31130 Canning of finits and vegetables 0.63 0.80 26.01 31140 Canning of finits and sea food 0.48 0.42 -11.97 31151 Vegetable Ghee 0.54 0.78 45.64 31153 and 59 Cotton seed and inedible animal oils 0.59 0.56 -4.75 31161 Rice milling 0.41 0.53 29.23 31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63 31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23 31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 0.41 31121 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31292 Erdible salt 0.80 0.72 20.12 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 0.60 0.72 20.12 35110 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 36900 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36920 Cement 0.75 0.77 0.69 -2.38 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Compressed Group 4 0.72 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36920 Cement 0.74 0.75 0.79 0.64 -19.43 36920 Cement 0.72 0.72 0.75 0.79 0.64 -19.43 36920 Cement 0.00 0.72 0.72 0.75 0.75 3710 0.72 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	32040	Silk and art silk textiles	0.49	0.62	28.25
32120 Made up textile goods 0.44 0.48 8.60 32130 Knitting mills 0.33 0.54 62.39 32150 Cordage, rope and twine 0.61 0.61 -0.86 32160 Spooling and thread ball making 0.57 0.63 11.16 Average (Group I) 0.46 0.59 39.47 Average (Group I) 0.46 0.59 0.56 0.51 -8.82 31151 Average (Group I) 0.41 0.53 0.69 31.60	32050	Narrow fabrics	0.27	0.84	213.48
32130 Knitting mills	32070	Finishing of textiles	0.38	0.50	33.08
Separate Separate	32120	Made up textile goods	0.44	0.48	8.60
Spooling and thread ball making	32130	Knitting mills	0.33	0.54	62.39
Group 2 Food Manufacturing 31121 Dairy products 0.56 0.51 -8.82 31122 Ice cream 0.60 0.78 29.46 31130 Canning of fruits and vegetables 0.63 0.80 26.01 31140 Canning of fish and sea food 0.48 0.42 -11.97 31151 Vegetable Ghee 0.54 0.78 45.64 31153 and 59 Cotton seed and inedible animal oils 0.59 0.56 -4.75 31161 Rice milling 0.41 0.53 29.23 31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63 31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23 31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22	32150	Cordage, rope and twine	0.61	0.61	-0.86
Strong Comp Comp	32160	Spooling and thread ball making	0.57	0.63	11.16
31121 Dairy products		Average (Group 1)	0.46	0.59	39.47
31122 Ice cream	Group 2	Food	d Manufacturing		
31130	31121	Dairy products	0.56	0.51	-8.82
31140	31122	Ice cream	0.60	0.78	29.46
31151 Vegetable Ghee 0.54 0.78 45.64 31153 and 59 Cotton seed and inedible animal oils 0.59 0.56 -4.75 31161 Rice milling 0.41 0.53 29.23 31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63 31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23 31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39	31130	Canning of fruits and vegetables	0.63	0.80	26.01
31153 and 59 Cotton seed and inedible animal oils 0.59 0.56 -4.75 31161 Rice milling 0.41 0.53 29.23 31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63 31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23 31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39	31140	Canning of fish and sea food	0.48	0.42	-11.97
31161 Rice milling 0.41 0.53 29.23 31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63 31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23 31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39			0.54	0.78	45.64
31162 Wheat and grain milling 0.19 0.58 209.63	31153 and 59	Cotton seed and inedible animal oils	0.59	0.56	-4.75
31163 and 69 Grain milled products and other grain milling 0.75 0.69 -8.23	31161	Rice milling	0.41	0.53	29.23
31171 Bread and bakery products 0.50 0.67 34.74 31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.76 -5.28 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	31162	Wheat and grain milling	0.19	0.58	209.63
31172 Biscuits 0.52 0.60 14.91 31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 0.76 8.77 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	31163 and 69	Grain milled products and other grain	milling 0.75	0.69	-8.23
31181 Refined sugar 0.64 0.65 0.73 31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35130 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed ga	31171	Bread and bakery products	0.50	0.67	34.74
31191 Confectionery, not sweetmeats 0.64 0.44 -31.22 31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35130 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0	31172	Biscuits	0.52	0.60	14.91
31192 and 99 "Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery 0.72 0.37 -48.52 31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	31181	Refined sugar	0.64	0.65	0.73
31212 Blending of tea 0.71 0.49 -31.35 31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.6	31191	Confectionery, not sweetmeats	0.64	0.44	-31.22
31221 Feeds for animals 0.51 0.77 52.13 31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74	31192 and 99	"Desi" sweetmeats and confectionery	0.72	0.37	-48.52
31222 Feeds for fowls 0.23 0.45 97.86 31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72	31212	C	0.71	0.49	-31.35
31291 Starch 0.71 0.69 -3.40 31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64	31221		0.51	0.77	52.13
31292 Edible salt 0.80 0.72 -9.52 31293 Ice 0.45 0.18 -60.29 Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change	31222	Feeds for fowls	0.23	0.45	97.86
Second					
Average (Group 2) 0.56 0.58 16.11 Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36930 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (G		Edible salt			
Group 3 Industrial Chemicals 35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39	31293				-60.29
35111 Alkalies 0.60 0.72 20.12 35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39		Average (Group 2)	0.56	0.58	16.11
35112 Acids, salts and intermediates 0.63 0.76 21.34 35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39					
35113 Sulphuric acid 0.68 0.57 -16.63 35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39	35111		0.60		20.12
35120 Dyes, colours and pigments 0.70 0.76 8.77 35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39	35112	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.63	0.76	21.34
35130 Compressed gases, etc. 0.61 0.70 15.48 35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39					
35140 Fertilisers 0.69 0.73 5.69 35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5					
35150 Pesticides, insecticides, etc. 0.67 0.79 18.08 35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Tobacco Manufacturing		1 0 ,			
35160 Synthetic resins, etc. 0.74 0.70 -5.28 Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Tobacco Manufacturing					
Average (Group 3) 0.66 0.72 8.45 36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing					
36910 Bricks and tiles 0.63 0.64 1.60 36920 Cement 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36930 Cement products 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Tobacco Manufacturing	35160	•			
36920 Cement 1995-96 2000-01 %Change 36930 Cement products 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5		C \ 1 /			
36920 Cement 0.73 0.72 -1.35 36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	36910	Bricks and tiles			
36930 Cement products 0.79 0.64 -19.43 Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	2				_
Average (Group 4) 0.72 0.67 -6.39 Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing					
Group 5 Tobacco Manufacturing	36930				
1		Average (Group 4)	0.72	0.67	-6.39
31410 Cigarettes 0.88 0.87 –0.84	-				
	31410	Cigarettes	0.88	0.87	-0.84

Continued—

Appendix—(Continued	١

Group 6 (From and Steel mills) Iron and Steel mills (60) 0.75 25.34 Group 7 (STO) 7 (STO) Medicines and basic drugs(allopathic) 0.54 0.74 36.17 35010 3 (Unani" medicines 0.68 0.77 12.60 35040 and 9 (STO) 1 (Unani" medicines 0.68 0.77 12.60 35040 and 9 (STO) 1 (Unani" medicines 0.67 0.52 -22.50 38310 (STO) 2 (STO) 2 (STO) 2 (STO) 3 (STO) 3 (STO) 3 (STO) 4 (STO) 4 (STO) 3 (STO) 4 (STO) 3 (STO) 4 (STO) 4 (STO) 3 (STO) 4 (STO) 4 (STO) 3 (STO) 4 (STO	Appendix—	(Continued)			
Section Table Tabl	Group 6	Iron and Steel			
35010 Medicines and basic drugs(allopathic) 0.54 0.74 12.60	37110	Iron and steel mills	0.60	0.75	25.34
35020	Group 7	Drugs and pharmaceutic	al produc	ets	
	35010	Medicines and basic drugs(allopathic)	0.54	0.74	36.17
Nevrage (Group 7)			0.68	0.77	12.60
Section Sect	35040 and 90		0.67	0.52	-22.50
Sas Company Sas Company Sas Company Sas Company Sas Company Sas Sa		Average (Group 7)	0.63	0.67	8.76
Radio and television commu	Group 8		d Supplie		
Section Sect					
Insulated wires and cables 0.75 0.71 -5.96					
Section Sect		**			
Sasabase					
Average (Group 8) Transport Equipment					
Transport Equipment 38440 Motor vehicles 0.60 0.63 5.20 38450 Motor cycles, auto rickshaws 0.47 0.35 -25.62 38460 Cycles and pedicabs 0.62 0.62 0.04 Average (Group 9) 0.56 0.53 -6.79 Transport Equipment 0.67 0.66 -0.91 0.67 0.66 -0.91 0.65 0.67 0.66 -0.91 0.65 0.67 0.66 0.91 0.65 0.71 -7.49 0.65 0.72 0.78 8.42 0.65 0.66 0.74 0.35 -12.84 0.65 0.66 0.64 -4.25 0.66 0.64 -4.25 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.64 0.65 0.66 0.65 0.66 0.65 0.66 0.65 0.66 0.65	38360				
Motor vehicles				0.70	0.08
Motor cycles, auto rickshaws 0.47 0.35 -25.62					
Cycles and pedicabs					
Average (Group 9)					
Other Chemical Products 35210 Paints, varnishes and lacquers 0.74 0.67 -8.46 35220 Perfumes and cosmetics 0.67 0.66 -0.91 35230 Soap and detergents 0.76 0.71 -7.49 35240 Polishes and waxes 0.72 0.78 8.42 35260 Ink (all kinds) 0.40 0.35 -12.84 Average (Group 10) 0.66 0.64 -4.25	38460				
Paints, varnishes and lacquers 0.74 0.67 -8.46	G 40	2 \ 1 /		0.53	-6.79
S5220 Perfumes and cosmetics 0.67 0.66 -0.91 S5230 Soap and detergents 0.76 0.71 -7.49 S5240 Polishes and waxes 0.72 0.78 8.42 S5260 Ink (all kinds) 0.40 0.35 -12.84 Average (Group 10) 0.66 0.64 -4.25 Group 11				0.67	9.46
Soap and detergents					
Solution Polishes and waxes 0.72 0.78 8.42					
Saction		1 &			
Average (Group 10) Non-electrical Machinery 38210 Engines and turbines 0.37 0.56 49.62 38220 Agricultural machinery 0.51 0.63 24.10 38230 Metal and wood working machinery 0.45 0.66 47.33 38240 Textile machinery 0.45 0.62 0.62 1.38 Average (Group 11) 0.49 0.62 30.61					
Non-electrical Machinery 38210 Engines and turbines 0.37 0.56 49.62 38220 Agricultural machinery 0.51 0.63 24.10 38230 Metal and wood working machinery 0.45 0.66 47.33 38240 Textile machinery 0.62 0.62 1.38 Average (Group 11) 0.49 0.62 30.61	33200	` '			
Section Sect	C 11	<u> </u>		0.64	-4.25
38220 Agricultural machinery 0.51 0.63 24.10 38230 Metal and wood working machinery 0.45 0.66 47.33 38240 Textile machinery 0.62 0.62 1.38 Average (Group 11) 0.49 0.62 30.61 Group 12 Printing and Publishing 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70 Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.56</td> <td>10.62</td>				0.56	10.62
38230 Metal and wood working machinery 0.45 0.66 47.33 38240 Textile machinery 0.62 0.62 1.38 Average (Group 11) 0.49 0.62 30.61 Group 12 Printing and Publishing 34210 Newspapers 0.76 0.76 0.53 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 <					
Textile machinery		•			
Average (Group 11) 0.49 0.62 30.61 Group 12 34210 Newspapers 0.76 0.76 0.53 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70 Group 14 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Group 15 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Croup 16 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51					
Group 12 Printing and Publishing 34210 Newspapers 0.76 0.76 0.53 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70 Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28	30240				
34210 Newspapers 0.76 0.76 0.53 34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining	Group 12			0.02	30.01
34220 Books, periodicals, maps, etc. 0.35 0.73 109.95 34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change	•	ĕ	_	0.76	0.53
34230 Job printing 0.83 0.75 -9.52 34240 Printed cards and stationery 0.68 0.66 -3.62 Average (Group 12) 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70 Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51					
Average (Group 12) 0.68 0.66 0.73 24.33 1995-96 2000-01 %Change Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70					
Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum and coal Paper and Paper Products 3.70 Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper Products 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51					
1995-96 2000-01 %Change		,	0.66	0.73	
Group 13 Petroleum Refining Petroleum refining and products of petroleum 353 and 354 and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70 Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Average (Group 14) Wearing Apparel 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Average (Group 14) Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51					
Petroleum refining and products of petroleum and coal 0.74 0.76 3.70	Group 13				C
Group 14 Paper and Paper Products 34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Wearing Apparel 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	•	Petroleum refining and products of petroleum	Ü		
34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	353 and 354		0.74	0.76	3.70
34110 Pulp and paper 0.64 0.70 8.76 34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51					
34120 Paperboard 0.59 0.69 16.01 34130 Pulp, paper and board articles 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Wearing Apparel 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	Group 14	Paper and Paper Pro	oducts		
34130 Pulp, paper and board articles Average (Group 14) 0.70 0.57 -18.19 Group 15 Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Wearing Apparel 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	34110	Pulp and paper	0.64	0.70	8.76
Average (Group 14) 0.65 0.66 2.19 Group 15 Wearing Apparel 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	34120	Paperboard			
Group 15 32210 Ready-made garments Wearing Apparel 32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	34130				
32210 Ready-made garments 0.47 0.56 18.28 Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51				0.66	2.19
Group 16 Leather and Leather products 32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51		Wearing Appar			
32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	32210	Ready-made garments	0.47	0.56	18.28
32310 Tanning and leather finishing 0.41 0.70 70.51	a		_		
	•			0.70	70.71
	32310	Tanning and leather finishing	0.41	0.70	70.51

Continued—

32330
Average (Group 16)
Group 17 Ginning and Baling of Fibre 32510 and 90 Ginning (Cotton and others) 0.48 0.73 51.30 Group 18 Rubber Products 35510 Tyres and tubes 0.70 0.79 13.36 35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 −18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 −2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass products 0.69 0.50 −27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 −2.42
Rubber Products 35510 Tyres and tubes 0.70 0.79 13.36 35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25
Group 18 Rubber Products 35510 Tyres and tubes 0.70 0.79 13.36 35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 −18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 −2.40 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass products 0.69 0.50 −27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 −2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 −15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries
35510 Tyres and tubes 0.70 0.79 13.36 35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass products 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72
35510 Tyres and tubes 0.70 0.79 13.36 35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass products 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72
35520 Retreading tyres and tubes 0.53 0.72 37.30 35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36220 Glass products 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220
35591 Rubber foot-wear 0.57 0.71 25.63 35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Fotup 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass products 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group
35592 Vulcanised rubber products 0.59 0.71 19.84 35593 Rubber belting 0.45 0.70 55.13 Average (Group 18) 0.57 0.73 30.25 Group 19
Rubber belting
Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36210 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
Group 19 Pottery, China and Earthware 36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.60 0.85 40.94<
36120 China and ceramics 0.60 0.68 13.22 36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery 0.76 0.62 -18.01 Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Source (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coa
36110 and 90 Earthenware and other pottery Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.62 -18.01 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
Average (Group 19) 0.68 0.65 -2.40 Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42
Group 20 Glass and Glass Products 36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
36210 Glass 0.69 0.50 -27.78 36220 Glass products Average (Group 20) 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys Average (Group 21) 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
36220 Glass products Average (Group 20) 0.64 0.63 -2.42 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys Average (Group 21) 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
Average (Group 20) 0.66 0.56 -15.10 Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55
Group 21 Non-ferrous Metal Industries 37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
37210 Aluminium and aluminium alloys 0.49 0.84 72.84 37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
37220 Copper and copper alloys 0.59 0.71 20.55 Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
Average (Group 21) 0.54 0.78 46.69 Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
Group 22 Fabricated Metal Products 38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
38010 Cutlery 0.52 0.60 15.21 38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
38050 Structural metal products 0.57 0.67 16.93 38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
38060 Metal stamping, coating, etc. 0.60 0.85 40.94
38070 Heating and cooking equipment 0.69 0.84 21.06
38080 Wire product 0.47 0.46 -1.06
38090 Utensils - aluminium 0.70 0.64 –9.35
38140 Tin cans and tinware 0.71 0.61 –13.20
1995-96 2000-01 %Change
38150 and 60 Metal trunks and bolt s, nuts, rivets, etc. 0.48 0.68 40.34
Average (Group 22) 0.59 0.67 13.86
Group 23 Surgical Instruments
38510 Surgical instruments 0.30 0.58 90.44
Group 24 Sports and Athletic Goods
392 Sports and athletic goods 0.30 0.77 154.58
Compared to the Distance of Manager and the Control of the Control
Group 25 Lime, Plaster and Manufacture of Refractories 36940 and 50 Lime, plaster and manufacture of refractories 0.06 0.33 413.75
36940 and 50 Lime, plaster and manufacture of refractories 0.06 0.33 413.75
Group 26 Other Manufacturing
39420 Bone crushing 0.71 0.61 –14.14
Average (All Industries) 0.58 0.65 11.94

REFERENCES

- Afriat, S. N. (1972) Efficiency Estimation of Production Functions. *International Economic Review* 13, 568–598.
- Aigner, Dennis, C. A. Knox Lovell, and Peter Schmidt (1977) Formulation and Estimation of Stochastic Frontier Production Function Models. *Journal of Econometrics* 6, 21–37.
- Alvares, Roberto, and Gustave Crespi (2003) Determinant of Technical Efficiency in Small Firms. *Small Business Economics* 20, 233–244.
- Angeriz, Alvaro, John McCombie, and Mark Roberts (2006) Productivity, Efficiency and Technological Change in European Union Regional Manufacturing: a Data Envelopment Analysis Approach. *The Manchester School* 74: 4, Special Issue 1463–6786 pp. 500–525.
- Battese, G. E., and G. S. Corra (1977) Estimation of a Production Frontier Model: With Application to the Pastoral Zones of Eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 21:3, 169–179.
- Battese, G. E., and T. J. Coelli (1988) Prediction of Firm-Level Technical Efficiencies With a Generalised Frontier Production Function and Panel Data. *Journal of Econometrics* 38, 387–399.
- Battese, George E., Rao, and O'Donnell (2004) A Metafrontier Production for Estimation of Technical Efficiencies and Technology Gaps for Firms Operating Under Different Technologies. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 21:1, 91–103.
- Burki, Abid A. and Mahmood-ul-Hasan Khan (2005) Effects of Allocative Inefficiency on Resource Allocation and Energy Substitution in Pakistan's Manufacturing. Lahore University of Management Sciences. (CMER Working Paper No. 04-30).
- Coelli, T. J. (1994) A Guide to FRONTIER Version 4.1: A Computer Programme for Stochastic Frontier Production and Cost Function Estimation. Centre for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis, University of New England, Australia. (CEPA Working Paper 96/070.)
- Coelli, T. J. (1995) Estimators and Hypothesis Tests for a Stochastic Frontier Function: A Monte Carlo Analysis. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 6:4, 247–268.
- Greene, W.H. (1990) A Gamma-distributed Stochastic Frontier Model. *Journal of Econometrics* 46, 141–164.
- Ikhsan-Modjo, Mohamad (2006) Total factor Productivity in Indonesian Manufacturing: A Stochastic Frontier Approach. Monash University. (ABERU Discussion Paper 28).
- Jajri, Idris, and Rahmah Ismail (2006) Technical Efficiency, Technological Change and Total Factor Productivity Growth in Malaysian Manufacturing Sector. MPRA (Munich Personal RePEc Archive Paper No. 1966), downloaded from: http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/1966/01/MPRA_paper_ 1966.pdf

- Kumbhakar, Subal C., and C. A. Knox Lovell (2000) Stochastic Frontier Analysis. Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, Jeong Yeon, and Kim, Jung Woe (2006) Total Factor Productivity and R & D Capital in Manufacturing Industries. East West Center (Working Paper 89, June).
- Njikam, Ousmanou (2003) Trade Reform and Efficiency in Cameroon's Manufacturing Industries. African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi. (AERC Research Paper 133.)
- Pakistan, Government of (1996) *Census of Manufacturing Industries*–1995-96. Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS).
- Pakistan, Government of (2001) Federal Bureau of Statistics (FBS). http://www.statpak.gov.pk/depts/fbs/statistics/manufacturing_industry/cmi_2001.html
- Pakistan, Government of (Various Issues) *Economic Survey*. Islamabad: Ministry of Finance.
- Roberto Alvarez and Gustavo Crespi, (2003) Determinants of Technical Efficiency in Small Firms. Kluwer Academic Publishers. *Small Business Economics* 20, 233–244,
- Saranga, Haritha, and B.V. Phani (2004) The Indian Pharmaceutical Industry—An Overview of Internal Efficiencies Using Data Envelopment Analysis. Indian Institute of Management Calcutta. (WPS-495, March).
- Stevenson, R. E. (1980) Likelihood Functions for Generalised Stochastic Frontier Estimation. *Journal of Econometrics* 13, 57–66.
- Taymaz, Erol, and Gulin Saatci (1997) Technical Change and Efficiency in Turkish Manufacturing Industries. *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 8, 461–475.
- Tripathy, Sabita (2006) Are Foreign Firms Allocatively Inefficient?: A Study of Selected Manufacturing Industries in India. Paper presented at the Fifth Annual GEP Postgraduate Conference (Leverhulme Centre for Research on Globalisation and Economic Policy (GEP), Nottingham. http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/economics/leverhulme/conferences/postgrad_conf_2006/Tripathy1.pdf