Unexplored "Bill of Rights" :

A Magna Carta for Gender Justice and Budgeting in India

Lekha Chakraborty

Abstract

This paper explores the "Bill of Rights" in the Justice Verma Committee Report as an analytical framework for gender budgeting in justice. Gender budgeting in justice, as a public good, needs effective planning and financing strategies more than just a Budget Head on "Nirbhaya Fund" in national budgets. As gender budgeting in justice is more effective at the decentralized levels, a gender-conscious fiscal devolution, rather than "one size fits all" gender budgeting policies, should be designed as the plausible entry point to integrate gender justice in fiscal federalism. If "climate change" is already integrated in the TOR of Finance Commission in India, can "TOR on gender" in the Commission be far behind?

Every time, when I feel helpless and anguish about the dignity, safety and security of women in India, I return to the "Bill of Rights" included in Justice J S Verma Committee Report¹ just to reassure myself that we have a top mission, and it is already stated explicit in a document in India, waiting to be transformed into a public policy. This report is a simple, powerful articulation - an answer - for the accumulated pain in the heart of every Indian for an effective public policy to uphold the right to life of women and girls in our country.

Be it a scholar from Oxford or Harvard who volunteered to provide inputs to the Verma Committee (the names of these scholars are listed in the Report) or a sensitive policy maker, the team has worked round the clock and produced such a brilliant report within short span of 30 days under the able leadership of Justice Verma. This report is waiting for real champions to translate it into public policy commitments as the first priority of our country.

The Context of the Report

We must not forget that this inevitable document is an intense 30 days of work in our country in response to the brutal gang rape of a young student, in the heart of the nation's capital in a public transport vehicle in the late evening of December 16, 2012, when she was returning home with her friend after watching "Life of Pi". Her action was absolutely "normal". None can point fingers at her saying that she deserved it as she was travelling after "sun set"? Just remember, it was "capital city"; it was "public space".

¹ Justice Verma Committee was constituted to recommend amendments to the Criminal Law so as to provide for quicker trial and enhanced punishment for criminals accused of committing sexual assault against women. The Committee submitted its report on January 23, 2013. On December 23, 2012 a three member Committee headed by Justice J.S. Verma, (late) former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, was constituted to recommend amendments to the Criminal Law so as to provide for quicker trial and enhanced punishment for criminals accused of committing sexual assault against women. The other members on the Committee were Justice Leila Seth, former judge of the High Court and Gopal Subramanium, former Solicitor General of India. (for details , refer http://www.prsindia.org/uploads/media/Justice%20verma%20committee/js%20verma%20committee/js%20verma%20committe%20report.pd f

The power of this report is the acknowledgment (in the very first line of the report) that this brutal event as the "failure of governance to provide a safe and dignified environment for the women of India, who are constantly exposed to sexual violence". This acknowledgement is apolitical and a clarion for the significance of government policies to ensure dignity, safe mobility and security for women. It is equally relevant to recall that this event has caught fire across globe and led to "One Billion Rising" civil society movement, a global outcry for safety and security of women in private and public spaces.

Though these events have clearly shifted the "self-censorship thresholds" of India in engaging in public debates relate to women, a meaningful long term translation of these concerns into public policy making has not yet happened. As the attitudinal changes take centuries, all what I want to highlight is the role of public policy in responding to these blatantly oppressive prejudices.

"Bill of Rights"

Astonished to see that the report derives its framework from Sen's capability deprivation and meticulously articulates a "Bill of Rights" for women. This "Bill of Rights" is a charter to set out the rights guaranteed to women under the Constitution of India, against the backdrop of India's commitment to international conventions. In terms of Sen's capability approach, these three crucial layers, which need interpretation in the context of "Bill of Rights" are capabilities, functioning and commodities/commodity space.

The first step is to propose a list of basic capabilities, which is narrated in Bill of Rights in the Justice Verma Committee Report (Pages 429-433). These basic capabilities can be a set of capabilities that should have only a few elements and this set is common for all individuals. These capabilities can be capability to stay alive and live long, capability to lead a healthy life, capability to have knowledge, capability to have social interaction etc.

Specific to the "Bill of Rights" in Justice Verma Committee Report, these capabilities are articulated as right to life, security and bodily integrity; right to democratic and civil rights; right to equality and non-discrimination; right to secured spaces; right to special protections (elderly and disabled); and right to special protection of women in distress.

The beauty of this "Bill of Rights" is that unlike the previous public policy treatment of "all women are equal", a careful analysis of heterogeneity is captured in these five dimensions. Conceptually this forms the analytical framework for gender budgeting to be conducted in justice and in legal fiats. While translating these Bill of Rights into an "ought to be budget", what element is missing in the existing budgets is what we look for in budgets through a 'gender lens' and rectify through new public policies.

The second step in Sen's framework would be to identify the articulations of capabilities as specific functionings that can be captured in observable data (gender disaggregated to the possible extent) (table 1). The third step is to estimate the optimal commodity space, especially the legal and fiscal policy stance in terms of Bill of Rights, which is necessary to be at individual's command to match commodity characteristics and capability requirements and then analyze the actual commodity space to identify the gaps. For instance, what could be the legal framework and budgeting policies to ensure safety and security to Indian women?

Capabilities	Articulation/ Functioning ¹	Commodity Space
	(Observable "functionings", in terms of statistics, gender disaggregated)	[Interpreting Commodity Space to match Capability requirements (in terms of Legal and Fiscal Policy Stance)]
1.Right to Life, Security, and Bodily Integrity	1. Every woman shall be entitled to respect for her life and the integrity and security of her person. All forms of violence, exploitation, cruel, inhuman or degrading punishment and treatment targeting women are prohibited.	A.Identify the public policies –legal and fiscal commitments- addressing gender justice and
	2. Every woman as the right to dignity inherent in a human being and to the recognition and protection of her human and legal rights.	corresponding budgetary allocations supporting these programmes.
	3. Every woman has the right to be respected as an independent person and to the free development of her personality.	B. Identify the policy gaps and design the
	4. Every woman has the right to express and experience complete sexual autonomy including with respect to her relationships and choice of partners.	commodity space - "ought to be budget" - through costing methodology.
	5. Every woman has the right not to be subjected to medical or scientific experiments without her informed consent; with an exception in the case of an emergency;	nichodology.
	6. The State shall ensure to every woman protection from all forms of violence whether the violence takes place in private or public, including unwanted or forced sexual intercourse or activity;	
	7. The State shall protect, rescue and rehabilitate every woman who is at the risk of or has been a victim of trafficking and all other forms of such treatment.	
	8. The State shall promptly provide effective mechanisms and accessible services for information, redressal, rehabilitation and reparation of every woman being a victim of violence.	
2.Right to Democratic and Civil Rights	9. Every woman should have the right to participative governance through participation without discrimination in all elections; representation at all levels in electoral processes; equal opportunity for partnership in decision making and implementation of development and economic programs.	A.Ex-ante designing and budgeting to ensure "freedom of expression" needs meticulous calibrations in law and
	10. Every woman has the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion, and belief, including the right to adopt, convert, and to hold opinions without interference.	order, culture and education sectors. B.The political economy of rights-based planning and
	11. Every woman has the right to manifest that person's religion or belief in worship, observance, practice, teaching, ideas, or opinions of her own choosing, either individually or in community with others, both, in public or private.	budgeting to ensure democratic and civil rights is closely linked to feminization of governance and in turn
	12. Every woman has the right to freedom of expression, including the	it's potential in

Table 1: Integrating "Bill of Rights" in Legal Fiat and Finance: An Analytical Framework

<u>г </u>		
	freedom to seek, receive, and impart information and opinions of any kind	influencing public
	in any form.	expenditure decisions.
		C. Participatory
	13. Every woman has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly.	budgeting is neither
		exclusively gender
	14. Every woman has the right to freedom of association.	budgeting. On the other
		hand, gender budgeting is
		not exclusively
		participatory budgetary
		either.
3. Right to	15. Every woman shall have the right to equality before the law and equal	A.Public policies based
Equality and	protection of all the laws.	on"Equal Opportunities"
Non-	r	per se cannot ensure
Discrimination	16. No woman shall be unfairly discriminated on grounds of gender	gender equality. B. The
	including-	legacy of patriarchy and
	(1) Preventing women from inheriting family property.	other logical entry
	(1) Preventing women from intertung family property.(2) (b) Any practice including traditional, customary or religious practice	barriers have prevented
	(2) (b) Any practice including traditional, customary of religious practice that impairs dignity of women and undermines equality between women	the women from optimal
		access and utilization of
	and men, including the undermining of the dignity and wellbeing of the girl	
	child.	public service
	(3) Any policy or conduct that unfairly limits access of women to land	provisioning. C.
	rights and finance and other resources	Removing these
	(4) Discrimination on grounds of pregnancy	"unfreedoms" of women
	(5) Limiting access to health care, education and other social welfare.	is a pre-requisite to
	(6) Denying access to opportunities including services or contractual	ensure optimal
	opportunities or failure to accommodate diversity.	participation of women in
	(7) Systematic inequality in access of labour, contractual opportunities etc.	the economy. D.
	(8) Systemic inequality to opportunities by women as a result of sexual	Analyzing the existing
	division of labour.	inheritance laws, diversity
	(9) Or discrimination by virtue of a woman belonging to another sub-sect	clauses and the legal
	of caste, religion, region or race.	codes of women in
		vulnerability and
	17. Every woman shall have the freedom to marry any person of her choice	macroeconomic volatility
	and be regarded as an equal partner in the marriage.	and framing tax and
		public spending policies
	18. Every woman shall have the same rights in case of separation, divorce	to address these concerns
	and annulment of marriage.	remain significant. E.
		Given equal care and
	19. Every woman shall have the Right to Free Education until under-	nutrition, women tend to
	graduate level.	live more than men. But
		this biological advantage
	20. Every woman especially the girl-child must be protected from all forms	is getting neutralized by
	of abuse, including sexual harassment in schools and other educational	gender discrimination.
	institutions and provide for sanctions against the perpetrators of such	This discrimination starts
	practices;	"even before birth". F.
	practices,	Framing public policies in
	21. Every woman who suffers abuses and sexual harassment must have	
		a co-operative federalism
	access to counseling and rehabilitation services to women who suffer	addressing these blatantly
	abuses and sexual harassment;	oppressive practice needs
		prioritization in planning
	22. Every woman shall have the Right to Reproductive and Sexual Health.	and budgeting.
	23. Every woman shall have the right to nutritious and adequate food as	
	well as access to clean drinking water.	

		I
		S
4. Right to Secured spaces	24. Every woman shall have the right to equal access to housing/shelter and to acceptable living conditions in a healthy environment.25. Every woman, whatever her marital status has access to adequate	A. Framing public finance and policy relate to Housing and Public Transport is
	housing/shelter.26. Every woman should have access to Public Transport facilities without fear of the risk of violation of her dignity in any form by means of teasing, molestation, stalking etc.	significant. B. Infrastructure budget is not gender-neutral budget. Applying "gender lens" in infrastructure
		budgeting is significant to ensure safety, security and mobility of women. C.Participation of women in economic activity is
		good for growth. D. Sustaining economic growth requires investment in care economy infrastructure.
5.Right to Special protections	27.Every elderly woman must have specific measures commensurate with her physical, economic and social needs as well as her access to employment and professional training;	A.Demographic transitions determine public expenditure decisions. B."All women
	28. Every elderly woman must have the right to freedom from violence, including sexual abuse, discrimination based on age and the right to be treated with dignity.	are equal" – this assumption of homogeneity in public
	29. Every woman with disability must have special protection and specific measures commensurate with their physical, economic and social needs to facilitate their access to employment, professional and vocational training as well as their participation in decision-making;	policies ignored the women in "special circumstances". Planning and budgeting needs to be initiated to protect the
	30. Every woman with disability must have freedom from violence, including sexual abuse, discrimination; and the right to be treated with dignity.	rights of "elderly". C. Analyzing the budget support through a 'life cycle approach' may
		reveal that in many countries, the budgetary allocations are significant at the initial years of life,
		for instance child budgeting and c-PFM

		(Public Finance
		Management
		incorporating Child
		Rights) rather than public
		spending for old age. D.
		A framework of "gender
		Plus" framework
		incorporating differently
		abled, ethnic and aged
		women can provide a
		substantial gender
		budgeting.
6.Rights to	31. Every woman below poverty line; and women heads of families	A.The unit of analysis of
Special	including women from marginalized population groups must be able to	public policies should not
Protection of	fulfil their special physical, economic and social needs;	be "household", but
Women in		"individual, preferably
Distress	32. Every pregnant or nursing women or women in detention must be	woman". The household
	provided with an environment which is suitable to their condition and	above poverty line does
	should be guaranteed the right to be treated with dignity.	not naturally ensure the
		women living in those
		households are above
		poverty line. This is all
		the more relevant in Q1
		income quintiles B.
		Maternal mortality rate is
		high in countries. To
		reduce maternal
		mortality, we do not
		require advanced medical
		technology, but a
		sensitive public policy
		ensuring institutional or
		assisted delivery. C.
		Women in detention and
		conflict zones require
		special policy
		requirements and
		budgeting. D. Ethnicity
		and ethno-fragmentation
		determine public
		expenditure priorities and
		need policy attention.
		need ponej attention.

Note: The list of commodity space- to design legal and fiscal policies - is open-ended.

Source: Bill of Rights, in Justice Verma Committee Report, Government of India, 2013; and Interpretations.

Though not articulated as Bill of Rights, the pioneering works of gender budgeting by NIPFP carried an analytical framework for gender diagnosis and budgeting in India, which was integrated in the Economic Survey of 2001-02 (a document to be tabled in Parliament a day before national Budget). The NIPFP study of 'gender diagnosis and budgeting' was referred to by the Economic Survey 2001-02 in multiple places and a promising phase of "owning gender concerns" by Ministry of Finance, Government of India has thus begun in early 2000s. All what I want to highlight is that, despite the promising framework of gender budgeting, the inevitable budgetary announcement of allocating Rs 1000 crores for Nirbhaya Fund, aimed to design policies for gender justice, safety and security of women in public spaces, (immediately after a brutal gang rape of a young student, in the heart of the nation's capital in a public transport vehicle in the late evening of December 16, 2012), has not translated into meaningful public policy and budgeting. Despite the increased allocations to this non-lapsable corpus, the fund remained unutilized. The proposals submitted to integrate gender lens in infrastructural policies under Nirbhaya Funds have not yet taken off effectively.

Reviving gender budgeting in justice integrating the "Bill of Rights" is the need of the hour. Though the expert group on "Classification of Budgetary Transactions" under the Chairmanship of then Chief Economic Advisor Ashok Lahiri, within the TOR on gender budgeting, has identified analytical matrices for framing budget through a 'gender lens', the Statement 20 on Gender Budgeting produced by Government of India in Expenditure Budgets (Volume 1) has largely remained as the invisible Elephant in the Room, unaware of its potential².

Public Policy Translations

Immediately after any Budget day, the debates on "what's for women in the budget?" have largely been confined to just the rise and fall in allocations. It is stuck with Budget Estimates

² In early 2000s, the deliberations begun by then NCW by its Member, Nirmala Sitaraman, in altering the classification of budget in integrating a Budget Head on "gender" has so far remained at the very first step. The beginnings on this front can be traced in the Lahiri Committee report on "Classification of Budgetary Transactions".

(BE), Revised Estimates (RE) and Actuals. In these discussions, unknowingly a subtle narrative triumphs that public goods are gender neutral or public goods cannot be gender-partitioned.

Gender budgeting is not about "gender-partitioning". It is a fiscal innovation in which we can analyse how gender-blind public policies have been and how to translate it into fiscal space for ensuring gender equity. It is an analytical framework in which gender commitments of a country gets translated into budgetary commitments for framing meaningful public policies. A refreshing question to ask here is about the efficacy of gender budgeting in "Rule of Law" and how to unpack and translate legal fiats into fiscal fiats to ensure gender equality.

The "rule of law" is a public good. The purpose of this post is to highlight this significant policy document in India lying unexplored on "rule of law" for women, with its recommendations mostly untouched. Though it was constituted to recommend "amendments to the Criminal Law so as to provide for quicker trial and enhanced punishment for criminals accused of committing sexual assault against women", it is written in a broader context than just analyzing the legal codes.

Democracy and the Rule of Law determine public expenditure decisions. However there is no automatic guarantee of success by the mere existence of perfect democratic institutions. As noted by Verma Committee report, the most perfect laws also would remain ineffective without the efficiency and 'individual virtuosity' of the human agency for implementing the laws. Similarly, the most effective framework of gender budgeting - a silent revolution of integrating gender consciousness into fiscal policy framework when macro policy makers had talked only about inflation, interest rates and deficits – has remained ineffective confined to the analysis of a few Demand of Grants.

Would Future Finance Commissions go "purple"?

In a co-operative federalism, its high time that Finance Commission "own" and integrate gender concerns articulated in the "Bill of Rights" of Justice Verma Committee Report, either in the formula based unconditional grants with gender indicator/index as one of the criteria (just as "climate change" variable appeared in formula of Fourteenth Finance Commission in sharing divisible tax pool with the States) or as specific purpose grants to the states to engage in

meaningful gender budgeting fiscal policy practices at the subnational level. This idea has practical deliberations in my papers published by the IMF and Levy Economics Institute of New York {WP No: 874(2016); WP 797(2014) and WP No: 590(2010)} and IMF (WP 150(2016)}.

To conclude, the "Bill of Rights" framed in the Justice Verma Committee Report can form the foundation for gender budgeting in Law and Order. Gender budgeting in justice is a public good and needs effective planning and financing strategies more than just a Budget Head on "Nirbhaya Fund" in national budgets.

As gender budgeting in justice, law and order is more effective at the decentralized levels, a gender-conscious fiscal devolution, rather than "one size fits all" gender budgeting policies, should be designed as the plausible entry point to integrate gender justice in fiscal federalism. If "climate change" is already integrated in the TOR of Finance Commission in India, can "TOR on gender" in the Commission be far behind?

References

Anand, Abhishek and Chakraborty, Lekha (2016): "Engendering" Intergovernmental Transfers: Is There a Case for Gender-sensitive Horizontal Fiscal Equalization?" WP. 590, Levy Economics Institute, New York

Chakraborty, Lekha (2014): Gender-responsive Budgeting as Fiscal Innovation, WP 797, Levy Economics Institute , New York

Chakraborty, Lekha (2014): "Integrating Time in Public Policy: Empirical Description of Gender-specific Outcomes and Budgeting", WP 785, Levy Economics Institute, New York

Chakraborty, Lekha (2010): Determining Gender Equity in Fiscal Federalism: Analytical Issues and Empirical Evidence from India, WP. 590, Levy Economics Institute, New York

Chakraborty, Lekha (2016), "Asia: A Survey of Gender Budgeting Efforts," IMF Working Paper 16 Government of India (2013): Justice Verma Committee Report, Government of India, New Delhi/150.

Sen, A (1985), Commodities and Capabilities. Amsterdam: North-Holland; 1985.