$See \ discussions, stats, and \ author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340920973$

COVID-19 pandemic - A focused review for clinicians

Article $\it in$ Clinical Microbiology and Infection · April 2020 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.023 CITATION READS 1 1,061 3 authors, including: Muge Cevik Antonia Ho University of St Andrews University of Glasgow 45 PUBLICATIONS 112 CITATIONS 27 PUBLICATIONS 318 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE SEE PROFILE Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



The use of CMV QuantiFERON assay in solid organ transplant patients View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Antonia Ho on 27 April 2020.

COVID-19 pandemic - A focused review for clinicians

Muge Cevik, Connor Bamford, Antonia Ho

PII: S1198-743X(20)30231-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.023

Reference: CMI 2024

To appear in: Clinical Microbiology and Infection

Received Date: 8 April 2020 Revised Date: 19 April 2020 Accepted Date: 21 April 2020



Please cite this article as: Cevik M, Bamford C, Ho A, COVID-19 pandemic – A focused review for clinicians, *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.023.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1	COVID-19 Pandemic – a focused review for clinicians
2	
3	
4	
5	Author: Muge Cevik ¹ , Connor Bamford ² , Antonia Ho ³
6	
7	
8	Affiliations:
9	
10	1. Division of Infection and Global Health Research, School of Medicine, University of St Andrews
11	UK
12	2. Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, School of Medicine, Dentistry and
13	Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK
14	3. MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Author of correspondence:
20	Name: Dr Muge Cevik
21	Address: Division of Infection and Global Health Research, School of Medicine, University of St
22	Andrews, Fife, KY16 9TF
23	Telephone number: 07531993677
24	Email address: mc349@st-andrews.ac.uk
25	
26	
27	
28	Key words: COVID 10 coronavirus SARS CoV 2 novel coronavirus

29	Abstract
30	
31	Background
32	The COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 remains a significant issue for global health,
33	economics and society. A wealth of data has been generated since its emergence in December 2019
34	and it is vital for clinicians to keep up with this data from across the world at a time of uncertainty and
35	constantly evolving guidelines and clinical practice.
36	
37	Objectives
38	Here we provide an update for clinicians on the recent developments about virology, diagnostics,
39	clinical presentation, viral shedding, and treatment options for COVID-19 based on current literature.
40	
41	Sources
42	We considered published peer-reviewed papers and non-peer-reviewed pre-print manuscripts on
43	COVID19 and related aspects with an emphasis on clinical management aspects.
44	
45	Content
46	We describe the virological characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and clinical course of COVID-19 with an
47	emphasis on diagnostic challenges, duration of viral shedding, severity markers and current treatment
48	options.
49	
50	Implications
51	The key challenge in managing COVID-19 remains the patient density. However, accurate diagnoses
52	as well as early identification and management of high-risk severe cases are important for many
53	clinicians. For improved management of cases, there is a need to understand test probability of
54	serology, qRT-PCR and radiological testing, and the efficacy of available treatment options that could
55	be used in severe cases with a high risk of mortality.

56	Introduction
57	The first cases of atypical pneumonia of unidentified aetiology were reported on December 30, 2019,
58	from Wuhan, China. By January 7, 2020, a novel betacoronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome
59	coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) was identified, while the disease has been named COVID-19. COVID-19
60	has now been declared a pandemic, affected nearly every country, with over 2.3 million confirmed
61	cases and >160,000 deaths. The initial clinical case series from China largely comprised of
62	hospitalised patients with severe pneumonia. Further data suggested that approximately 80% patients
63	have mild disease, 20% require hospital admission, and approximately 5% require intensive care
64	admission [1]. Mortality rates are higher among people over 60 years and with coexisting conditions;
65	hypertension, diabetes and cardiovascular disease being the most common. Here we provide an
66	update for clinicians on the recent developments about virology, diagnostics, clinical presentation,
67	and treatment options for COVID-19 based on current literature.
68	
69	Virology
70	Metagenomic sequencing and targeted real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays
71	identified a novel human CoV (SARS-CoV-2) in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid taken from the initial
72	patient cluster in Wuhan [2]. Infectious SARS-CoV-2 has been cultured on monkey Vero, human
73	Huh7 and primary human airway epithelial cells [3], where it is cytopathic. Furthermore, serum
74	antibodies (IgM and IgG) from cases neutralized SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture and detected virus-
75	infected cells by indirect immunofluorescence [3].
76	
77	Phylogenetic analysis reveals that SARS-CoV-2 is closely related to SARS-CoV (~80% similar) in
78	the Sarbecovirus sub-family (genus Betacoronavirus) [2]. While an intermediate host has yet to be
79	determined, it shares strong genetic similarity (>95%) to known bat coronaviruses from China,
80	suggesting a likely bat origin. Relatively similar coronaviruses have been found in pangolins whose

receptor-binding domain (RBD) of Spike (S) glycoprotein is more like to SARS-2-CoV-2 than known

81

82

bat viruses [4].

109

110

84	SARS-CoV-2 shares most of its gene content with SARS-CoV, including the S glycoprotein, the
85	RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Nsp12) and two proteases papain-like protease (PLpro) and 3C-
86	like protease (3CLpro) [3]. There is also substantial antigenic cross-reactivity between SARS-CoV-2
87	and SARS-CoV [3, 5]. A recent study confirmed that the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2),
88	expressed in the human respiratory tract epithelium, is the entry receptor for SARS-CoV-2 similar to
89	SARS-CoV and has been shown to cause pneumonia in lab mice only expressing human ACE2 [6, 7].
90	This is likely mediated by the RBD of the S glycoprotein [8]. Although there is obvious homology
91	between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, and cross neutralization has been observed [9], significant
92	biological differences, specifically in the S glycoprotein have been noted [5, 10, 11].
93	
94	Clinical presentation
95	A key difference between COVID-19 and seasonal influenza-associated pneumonia is the potential
96	severity of disease even in young adults without comorbidities [12]. In a study that compared three
97	well-conducted Chinese case series to a reference group of patients with influenza-associated
98	pneumonia from 73 German sentinel hospitals, the severity of pneumonia even in adults aged <60
99	years without chronic preconditions was significantly greater in COVID-19. For instance, 28% of
100	COVID-19 patients treated on the ICU had no reported comorbidity. The rate of ARDS and
101	mechanical ventilation was markedly higher among COVID-19 patients. The median duration of
102	ventilation was 9 days for non-invasive, and 17 days for invasive ventilation [12].
103	
104	Across all studies, the most common symptoms at onset of illness were fever, cough, fatigue, and
105	myalgia. However, available data suggest that only half of patients are febrile at the time of admission
106	[13, 14]. Gastrointestinal symptoms, including anorexia, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea are also
107	common, reported in nearly 40% patients in some cohorts [15, 16]. Furthermore, up to 10% patients
108	present with gastrointestinal symptoms without respiratory symptoms or fever [17]. COVID-19 has

been associated with a hypercoagulable state with increased risk of venous thromboembolism[18].

Neurological manifestations, including headache, dizziness, altered consciousness, ischaemic and

111	haemorrhagic strokes, as well as muscle injury, have also been reported [19]. A third of patients
112	reported taste or olfactory disorders in a small Italian cohort, including anosmia [20]. Other
113	extrapulmonary manifestations include skin and ocular manifestations. An Italian study reported
114	cutaneous manifestations in 20% patients [21]. Lastly, ocular manifestations consistent with
115	conjunctivitis was reported in 32% COVID patients in a Chinese case series [22].
116	
117	The estimated mean incubation period is reported as 3-6 days (range 1.3-11.3) [12]. The duration
118	from symptom onset to dyspnoea was 5-6 days [13, 17] On average, disease progresses further
119	requiring hospitalisation at 7-8 days from symptom onset. Patients may initially appear relatively
120	stable, but they often rapidly deteriorate with severe hypoxia [13, 17]. The key feature seen in these
121	cases is acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [13, 17]. The interval from symptom onset to the
122	development of ARDS is approximately 8-12 days [13]. In addition, the incidence of cardiovascular
123	manifestations such as myocardial injury seems to be high, likely due to the systemic inflammatory
124	response and immune system disorders during disease progression[23].
125	
126	Illness severity and development of ARDS are associated with older age and underlying medical
127	conditions [17]. Additionally, neutrophilia, raised lactate dehydrogenase and D-dimer, lymphocyte
128	counts, CD3 and CD4 T-cell counts, AST, prealbumin, creatinine, glucose, low-density lipoprotein,
129	serum ferritin, and prothrombin time were also associated with higher risk of severe disease and
130	ARDS [17]. In a cohort of 191 patients with a definitive clinical outcome (137 discharged and 54
131	died), mortality was independently associated with older age, higher qSOFA score, d-dimer >1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$
132	on admission, and the majority had severe disease and experienced complications, such as ARDS,
133	acute kidney injury, and sepsis [13]. Factors most associated with critical illness were admission
134	oxygen saturation <88%, first d-dimer>2500, first ferritin >2500, and first CRP >200 [24].
135	Furthermore, patients with cardiovascular disease were shown to be more likely to develop severe
136	symptoms[23] in keeping with picture seen in MERS-CoV and SARS.

137

138	In comparison, most children appear to have mild disease. Among 1391 asymptomatic and
139	symptomatic children (median age: 6.7 years) with known COVID19 contact in Wuhan Children's
140	Hospital [25], 171 (12.3%) were SARS-CoV2-positive; 27 (15.8%) had no symptoms or radiologic
141	features of pneumonia, 33 (19.3%) had upper respiratory symptoms, and 64.9% had pneumonia.
142	Three patients (with coexisting conditions) required intensive care and 1 death.
143	
144	In terms of co-infections, a pre-print examining >8000 samples of COVID-19 contacts tested for
145	SARS-CoV2 in China reported viral co-infections in 5.8% of COVID-19 positive individuals
146	(including seasonal coronaviruses, influenza A virus and rhinoviruses [26]. Another study of 1206
147	patients identified viral co-infection in 24 of 116 (21%) SARS-CoV2-positive patients;
148	rhino/enterovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, and seasonal CoVs were most common [27]. Bacterial
149	and fungal co-infections with SARS-CoV-2 have been documented especially in the ICU setting,
150	including Acinetobacter baumanii and Klebsiella pneumoniae [28]. Among 191 patients, non-
151	survivors were more likely to have sepsis based on qSOFA score and secondary infection, although
152	detailed bacteriology results were not reported [13]. Secondary infection and positive association
153	between steroid administration and secondary infection should be explored further.
154	
155	Molecular and Serological Diagnosis
156	The first genome sequence for SARS-CoV-2 was released on virological.org on 10 January (GenBank
157	accession number MN908947). This allowed the rapid development of several sensitive and specific
158	qRT-PCR assays [29]. Many laboratories worldwide are now able to test for SARS-CoV-2. Assays
159	have been described that detect <10 copies of SARS-CoV-2 per reaction and will not cross-react with
160	SARS-CoV or other human coronaviruses [29]. However, sensitivity and specificity of these tests
161	remain unknown and there is no clear consensus on which is preferred.
162	
163	Viral RNA loads by qRT-PCR were substantially higher in sputum compared to throat swabs [3, 30,
164	31], suggesting that the type of sample may also influence the outcome of the test. Therefore,
165	currently submission of both lower and upper respiratory tracts samples is advised.

193

167 Precise molecular detection is hampered by the variability in viral loads in the upper respiratory tract, 168 especially at later stages of infection. In a study from China, among 241 COVID-19 patients with at 169 least one positive SARS-CoV-2 qRT-PCR test result, in the first test, 384 (63.0%) were negative [32]. 170 In addition, several tests at different points were variable from the same patients during the course of 171 diagnosis and treatment.[32]. Therefore, a single positive test should be confirmed by a second qRT-172 PCR assay targeting a different SARS-CoV-2 gene. Although, similar studies in Taiwan and Hong 173 Kong reported less false-negatives[33]. Secondly, a single negative SARS-CoV-2 test (especially if 174 from upper respiratory tract specimen) or a positive test result for another respiratory pathogen result 175 should not be used to exclude COVID-19 infection. These findings indicate that qRT-PCR has low 176 probability of ruling out an infection and in clinically high suspicious cases repeat sampling and also 177 CT images may need to be used to guide the diagnosis. 178 179 Antibody-based methods to detect seroconversion in serum or plasma based upon enzyme-linked 180 immunosorbent assays (ELISA), indirect-immunofluorescence or virus neutralisation have been 181 reported [34-36]. Around 40-50% patients develop an antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 infection 182 after 7 days, and the majority by 14 days [35, 37]. S1 has been shown to be more specific than S as an 183 antigen for SARS-CoV-2 in serological diagnosis [36]. The commercial S1 IgG and IgA assays have 184 lower specificity but IgA showing higher sensitivity [36]. Recently, an ELISA assay based on 185 detection of recombinant S protein by serum antibodies demonstrated robust and scalable 186 determination of seroconversion that will facilitate screening of potential exposed individuals for 187 evidence of past infection [38]. Since seroconversion occurs relatively late in infection, rapid antibody 188 tests have a limited role in the diagnosis of acute infection; qRT-PCR remains the 'gold standard'. 189 190 There is an ongoing work to understand protective antibody level and immunological marker. Among 191 175 recovered laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients, neutralizing antibodies (NAb) peaked at 10 192 to 15 days after disease onset. However, approximately 30% failed to develop good level of NAb

titres (ID50: < 500)[39]. In addition, patients who did not generate NAbs at the time of discharge did

not develop NAbs thereafter. These results highlight that some patients with SARS-CoV-2 would recover without developing high titers of virus-specific NAbs. These findings have some implications for vaccine development and also for convalescent plasma treatment as the donor plasma should be titrated before use in passive therapy. There is less information available on the T cell response during SARS-CoV-2 infection and how it correlates with the NAb titres.

Duration of viral shedding and isolation period

SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been identified by qRT-PCR in respiratory tract samples 1-2 days prior to
symptom onset and can persist for 7-12 days in moderate cases, and up to 2 weeks in severe cases [35,
40]. SARS-CoV-2 has also been detected in whole blood [41], saliva [42], faeces [43], and urine [44]
by qRT-PCR (Table 1) In several case series with serial sampling, viral loads were highest soon after
symptom onset [35, 45]. Patients with severe COVID-19 had significantly higher viral load and
longer period of viral shedding than mild cases [46]. Prolonged viral RNA shedding has been reported
from throat swabs up to 37 days among adult patients [13], and in faeces, for over one month after
illness onset in children[40, 47]. However, detection of viral RNA by qRT-PCR does not necessarily
equate with infectious virus. No live virus was cultured from 9 mild COVID-19 cases beyond day 8
after symptom onset in throat swabs or sputum despite ongoing high viral load [35]. Persistently high
levels of RNA were also identified in the stool of the mild cases, but no live virus was cultured [35].
These findings suggest that patients may continue to shed RNA in various samples for a long period,
but this does not equate to infectiousness potential (Table 1). This supports the current guidance of 7-
14 days self-isolation from symptom onset. Certain hospitals following a protocol to confirm viral
clearance prior to transfer out of dedicated COVID-19 wards, however, this may not be required
given the prolonged RNA shedding without the evidence of viable virus. However, whether faecal-
oral or faecal-respiratory transmission occurs, and the role of shedding in severe cases in transmission
requires further exploration.

Transmission patterns

221	A review of modelling studies based on Chinese case numbers report a median basic reproduction
222	number (R_0) of 2.79 [48], though R_0 as high as 5.7 have been reported [49]. These estimates are
223	substantially higher than the reproduction number for seasonal influenza (~1.3) [50], and indicate that
224	control measures would need to prevent $>60\%$ transmission to stop the epidemic. Of note, R_0 will
225	vary by setting, and can be substantially reduced by countermeasures, as have been observed in China
226	[51].
227	It is now about that a significant proportion of individuals with COVID 10 have your mild on no
227	It is now clear that a significant proportion of individuals with COVID-19 have very mild or no
228	symptoms. Asymptomatic infection at the time of laboratory testing have been reported [52, 53],
229	though a large proportion go on to develop symptoms. For instance, among 55 asymptomatic carriers
230	with positive qRT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 in pharyngeal swab samples, 14 went on to develop mild,
231	39 ordinary, and 2 severe COVID-19 [54]. There have been several reports of SARS-CoV-2
232	transmission from asymptomatic or presymptomatic persons [55, 56], which poses significant
233	challenges to contact tracing. Nevertheless, the relative contribution of asymptomatic or pre-
234	symptomatic transmission on the overall transmission dynamics of the pandemic remains uncertain.
235	Thus, household studies to study secondary human transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and serosurveys to
236	determine the incidence of asymptomatic and subclinical infections are needed.
237	
238	A further consideration is superspreading events, whereby a small number of cases are responsible for
239	a disproportionate number of secondary cases. This was a feature of both SARS- and MERS-CoV,
240	responsible for multiple nosocomial outbreaks [57, 58]. Several superspreading events has been
241	reported for COVID-19 [17]. Rapid identification and mitigation of these events will be crucial to
242	controlling this pandemic.
243	
244	Treatment options in clinical trials
245	At present, there are no approved antivirals for SARS-CoV-2. Several antivirals that have shown
246	promise against SARS- or MERS-CoV in vitro and in vivo are currently being evaluated in clinical
247	trials for COVID-19. Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), a protease inhibitor used as an antiretroviral,

showed inconclusive findings for the treatment of SARS, but demonstrated strong in vitro and in vivo
antiviral activity against MERS-CoV when combined with interferon-beta (IFNb) [59]. The first of a
number of clinical trials involving LPV/r was recently published [60]. Among 199 seriously ill
laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients, no significant difference in clinical improvement, mortality
or viral clearance was observed between LPV/r (n=99) and standard care (n=100) arms. However,
treatment was instituted late in infection; median time from symptom onset to treatment was 13 days,
and >40% of patients had undetectable viral load before or during treatment. The results were
complicated by the variable use of other treatments, including interferon, glucocorticoids and
antibiotics. Of note, day 28 mortality was lower (not significantly) in those with early treatment (19%
vs. 27%) and those who received LPV/r also had lower vasopressor and non-invasive ventilation use.
Another promising drug is remdesivir, a novel nucleotide analogue that interferes with nsp12
polymerase [61]. It has shown in vitro activity against a wide range of RNA viruses including SARS
and MERS-CoV [62, 63], and has also demonstrated superior antiviral activity compared to LPV/r-
IFNb against MERS-CoV in a mouse model [59]. Against SARS-CoV-2, it has shown promising
antiviral activity in Vero E6 cells and Huh7 cells [64]. Remdesivir has been given to a small number
of patients with severe COVID-19 through compassionate use, however, given the lack of
randomisation and control group interpretation of the findings is difficult [65]. There are ongoing
RCTs assessing its efficacy and safety in patients with COVID-19 worldwide, and a study in France
evaluating its impact on viral shedding in high and moderate risk contacts in confirmed COVID-19
cases (NCT04259892).
Other candidate antivirals are studied in RCTs, including favipiravir and hydroxychloroquine, which
has been shown to inhibit virus cell entry in vitro [66]. Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), an analogue of
chloroquine, has demonstrated anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity in vitro [67]. Among a small open-label
non-randomised study, patients treated with HCQ and HCQ + Azithromycin showed viral load
reduction compared to controls. However, there has been significant concerns and ethical issues about
the content, the ethical approval of the trial and the peer review process prior to publication raised by

several physicians and also the International Society of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. In a small RCT

276	of HCQ (n=30), there was no change in viral load or clinical outcome after 7 days [68]. Currently,
277	there are 45 trials evaluating chloroquine or HCQ for the treatment and prophylaxis of COVID-19,
278	including multi-centre RCTs in the UK (RECOVERY, ISRCTN50189673), Europe (DisCoVeRy,
279	NCT04315948) and also globally involving >70 countries (SOLIDARITY, ISRCTN83971151).
280	
281	Host-targeted therapeutic options are also being explored, such as inhibition of human cytokine
282	interleukin-6 (IL-6), the abundance of which has been associated with worse prognosis [69]. A
283	preprint including 21 patients that received Tocilizumab (an IL-6 receptor inhibitor) reported
284	improvement in symptoms, hypoxaemia and CT changes in the majority of patients[70]. There are
285	ongoing RCTs evaluating tocilizumab and sarilumab, also an IL-6 receptor inhibitor. With insufficient
286	evidence of efficacy for any existing treatments, the IDSA recommends that experimental therapies
287	should only be offered to patients in the context of a clinical trial [71].
288	
289	There is no licenced vaccine to protect against COVID-19. However, a number of experimental
290	candidates are in development with some already in early clinical trials. Most vaccine candidates
291	focus on immunisation with only the S glycoprotein, which is the major target for neutralization
292	antibodies. Candidate vaccines differ in the mode of S delivery and platforms dependent on
293	recombinant protein, mRNA or viral vectored approaches are being tested. Passive immunisation
294	through transfusion of convalescent sera or plasma containing neutralizing antibodies from recovered
295	donors have been reported in several case series, with clinical improvement reported in recipients [72,
296	73]. Clinical trials evaluating convalescent plasma as treatment for severe COVID-19 are ongoing.
297	
298	Conclusion
299	A wealth of data has been generated already on COVID-19 since early January 2020. Nevertheless,
300	key questions remain regarding understanding the population at risk and age groups, proportion of
301	individuals that have had asymptomatic infections and their transmission potential, endemicity and
302	seasonality of COVID-19, and whether stringent physical distancing measures will be effective in

303	countries outside China. The main challenge in managing COVID19 remains the patient density,
304	however, accurate diagnoses as well as early identification and management of high-risk severe cases
305	remains a daily battle for many clinicians. For improved management of cases, there is a need to
306	understand test probability of serology, qRT-PCR and radiological testing, and the efficacy of
307	available treatment options that could be used in severe cases with high risk of mortality.
308	
309	Authors contributions
310	MC, CM, AH drafted the first and subsequent versions of the manuscript, and all authors provided
311	critical feedback and contributed to the manuscript.
312	
313	Financial support and sponsorship
314	None
315	
316	Conflicts of interest
317	MC, CB and AT has nothing to disclose.
318	
210	
319	

Source	Mode of transmission	RNA by PCR (Days since onset of symptoms)	Viable virus (Days since onset of symptoms)
Nasopharynx	Droplet	Up to 37 days	Up to 7 days (in mild cases)
Sputum	Droplet / airborne during aerosolize- producing procedures	Up to 37 days	Up to 7 days (in mild cases)
Stool	No evidence of faecal-oral transmission	> 30 days	Only 1 report; uncertain
Blood	No viable virus to date	Up to 14 days	No
Urine	No viable virus to date	No	No
Conjunctiva	No viable virus to date Macaques with corneal inoculation develop infection	Yes	No
Vertical	No strong evidence of vertical transmission to date	No	N/A
			,

320

321

References

323

322

- Team TNCPERE. The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel
- 325 Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) China, 2020. Available at:
- 326 http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/fileCCDCW/journal/article/ccdcw/newcreate/COVID-19.pdf.
- 327 Accessed February 18.
- 328 2. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in
- 329 China, 2019. New England Journal of Medicine **2020**.
- 330 3. Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new
- coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature **2020**.
- 4. Lam TT-Y, Shum MH-H, Zhu H-C, et al. Identifying SARS-CoV-2 related coronaviruses in
- Malayan pangolins. Nature **2020**.
- Tian X, Li C, Huang A, et al. Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a
- SARS coronavirus-specific human monoclonal antibody. bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.01.28.923011.
- Bao L, Deng W, Huang B, et al. The Pathogenicity of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in hACE2
- Transgenic Mice. bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.07.939389.

- 338 7. Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related
- coronavirus: The species and its viruses a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group.
- 340 bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.07.937862.
- 341 8. Letko M, Munster V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for lineage B β-
- 342 coronaviruses, including 2019-nCoV. bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.01.22.915660.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on
- ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell.
- Lei C, Fu W, Qian K, et al. Potent neutralization of 2019 novel coronavirus by recombinant
- 346 ACE2-Ig. bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.01.929976.
- 347 11. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, et al. Cryo-EM Structure of the 2019-nCoV Spike in the
- 348 Prefusion Conformation. bioRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.11.944462.
- 349 12. Tolksdorf K, Buda S, Schuler E, Wieler LH, Haas W. Influenza-associated pneumonia as
- reference to assess seriousness of coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Euro Surveill **2020**;
- 351 25(11).
- 352 13. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients
- with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet **2020**; 395(10229):
- 354 1054-62.
- 355 14. Kluytmans M, Buiting A, Pas S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in 86 healthcare workers in two
- 356 Dutch hospitals in March 2020. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.03.23.20041913.
- Liang W, Feng Z, Rao S, et al. Diarrhoea may be underestimated: a missing link in 2019
- novel coronavirus. Gut **2020**: gutjnl-2020-320832.
- 359 16. Zhang J-j, Dong X, Cao Y-y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with
- 360 SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. Allergy **2020**; n/a(n/a).
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019
- Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA **2020**.
- 363 18. Danzi GB, Loffi M, Galeazzi G, Gherbesi E. Acute pulmonary embolism and COVID-19
- pneumonia: a random association? European Heart Journal **2020**.

- 365 19. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With
- Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Neurology **2020**.
- 367 20. Giacomelli A, Pezzati L, Conti F, et al. Self-reported olfactory and taste disorders in SARS-
- 368 CoV-2 patients: a cross-sectional study. Clinical Infectious Diseases **2020**.
- Recalcati S. Cutaneous manifestations in COVID-19: a first perspective. Journal of the
- European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology n/a(n/a).
- Wu P, Duan F, Luo C, et al. Characteristics of Ocular Findings of Patients With Coronavirus
- Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei Province, China. JAMA Ophthalmology **2020**.
- 23. Zheng Y-Y, Ma Y-T, Zhang J-Y, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. Nature
- Reviews Cardiology **2020**; 17(5): 259-60.
- Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, et al. Factors associated with hospitalization and critical
- illness among 4,103 patients with COVID-19 disease in New York City. medRxiv **2020**:
- 377 2020.04.08.20057794.
- 25. Lu X, Zhang L, Du H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Children. New England Journal of
- 379 Medicine **2020**.
- Wang M, Wu Q, Xu W, et al. Clinical diagnosis of 8274 samples with 2019-novel
- 381 coronavirus in Wuhan. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.12.20022327.
- 382 27. Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, Shah NH, Brown I. Rates of Co-infection Between SARS-CoV-2
- and Other Respiratory Pathogens. JAMA **2020**.
- 28. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of
- 385 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet **2020**.
- 29. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by
- real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill **2020**; 25(3).
- 388 30. Chan JF-W, Yuan S, Kok K-H, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the
- 389 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster.
- 390 The Lancet **2020**; 395(10223): 514-23.

- 391 31. Han H, Luo Q, Mo F, Long L, Zheng W. SARS-CoV-2 RNA more readily detected in
- induced sputum than in throat swabs of convalescent COVID-19 patients. Lancet Infect Dis
- **2020**.
- 394 32. Li Y, Yao L, Li J, et al. Stability issues of RT-PCR testing of SARS-CoV-2 for hospitalized
- patients clinically diagnosed with COVID-19. Journal of Medical Virology **2020**; n/a(n/a).
- 396 33. Chan JF-W, Yip CC-Y, To KK-W, et al. Improved molecular diagnosis of COVID-19 by the
- novel, highly sensitive and specific COVID-19-RdRp/Hel real-time reverse transcription-
- 398 polymerase chain reaction assay validated in vitro and with clinical specimens.
- Journal of Clinical Microbiology **2020**: JCM.00310-20.
- 400 34. Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. Discovery of a novel coronavirus associated with the
- recent pneumonia outbreak in humans and its potential bat origin. bioRxiv **2020**:
- 402 2020.01.22.914952.
- Wolfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients
- 404 with COVID-2019. Nature **2020**.
- 405 36. OKBA NMA, Muller MA, Li W, et al. SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody responses in COVID-
- 406 19 patients. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.03.18.20038059.
- 407 37. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel
- 408 coronavirus disease 2019. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.03.02.20030189.
- 409 38. Amanat F, Nguyen T, Chromikova V, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2
- 410 seroconversion in humans. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.03.17.20037713.
- Wu F, Wang A, Liu M, et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-
- 412 19 recovered patient cohort and their implications. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.03.30.20047365.
- 413 40. Cai J, Xu J, Lin D, et al. A Case Series of children with 2019 novel coronavirus infection:
- clinical and epidemiological features. Clinical Infectious Diseases **2020**.
- 415 41. Young BE, Ong SWX, Kalimuddin S, et al. Epidemiologic Features and Clinical Course of
- 416 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. JAMA **2020**.
- 417 42. To KK-W, Tsang OT-Y, Yip CC-Y, et al. Consistent Detection of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in
- 418 Saliva. Clinical Infectious Diseases **2020**.

- 419 43. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical
- 420 Specimens. JAMA **2020**.
- 421 44. Peng L, Liu J, Xu W, et al. 2019 Novel Coronavirus can be detected in urine, blood, anal
- swabs and oropharyngeal swabs samples. medRxiv **2020**: 2020.02.21.20026179.
- 423 45. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of
- Infected Patients. New England Journal of Medicine **2020**; 382(12): 1177-9.
- 425 46. Liu Y, Yan L-M, Wan L, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. The
- 426 Lancet Infectious Diseases.
- 427 47. Xu Y, Li X, Zhu B, et al. Characteristics of pediatric SARS-CoV-2 infection and potential
- evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding. Nature Medicine **2020**.
- 429 48. Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is
- higher compared to SARS coronavirus. Journal of Travel Medicine **2020**; 27(2).
- 431 49. Sanche S, Lin YT, Xu C, Romero-Severson E, Hengartner N, Ke R. High Contagiousness and
- Rapid Spread of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. Emerg Infect Dis **2020**;
- 433 26(7).
- 434 50. Biggerstaff M, Cauchemez S, Reed C, Gambhir M, Finelli L. Estimates of the reproduction
- number for seasonal, pandemic, and zoonotic influenza: a systematic review of the literature.
- 436 BMC Infectious Diseases **2014**; 14(1): 480.
- 437 51. Kucharski AJ, Russell TW, Diamond C, et al. Early dynamics of transmission and control of
- 438 COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases.
- 439 52. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-
- 440 nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. Euro Surveill
- **2020**; 25(5).
- 442 53. Ki M. Epidemiologic characteristics of early cases with 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV)
- disease in Korea. Epidemiol Health **2020**; 42(0): e2020007-0.
- 444 54. Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu L, Wang X, Luo N, Li L. Clinical Outcomes in 55 Patients With Severe
- Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Who Were Asymptomatic at Hospital Admission
- in Shenzhen, China. The Journal of Infectious Diseases **2020**.

- 447 55. Kimball A, Hatfield KM, Arons M, et al. Asymptomatic and Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2
- Infections in Residents of a Long-Term Care Skilled Nursing Facility King County,
- Washington, March 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2020**; 69(13): 377-81.
- 450 56. Wei WE, Li Z, Chiew CJ, Yong SE, Toh MP, Lee VJ. Presymptomatic Transmission of
- SARS-CoV-2 Singapore, January 23-March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep
- **2020**; 69(14): 411-5.
- 453 57. Shen Z, Ning F, Zhou W, et al. Superspreading SARS events, Beijing, 2003. Emerg Infect
- 454 Dis **2004**; 10(2): 256-60.
- 455 58. Kim KH, Tandi TE, Choi JW, Moon JM, Kim MS. Middle East respiratory syndrome
- 456 coronavirus (MERS-CoV) outbreak in South Korea, 2015: epidemiology, characteristics and
- public health implications. J Hosp Infect **2017**; 95(2): 207-13.
- Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Leist SR, et al. Comparative therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir and
- combination lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. Nat Commun **2020**;
- 460 11(1): 222.
- 461 60. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with
- Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med **2020**.
- 463 61. Agostini ML, Andres EL, Sims AC, et al. Coronavirus Susceptibility to the Antiviral
- Remdesivir (GS-5734) Is Mediated by the Viral Polymerase and the Proofreading
- Exoribonuclease. mBio **2018**; 9(2): e00221-18.
- Warren TK, Jordan R, Lo MK, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734
- against Ebola virus in rhesus monkeys. Nature **2016**; 531(7594): 381-5.
- 468 63. Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Graham RL, et al. Broad-spectrum antiviral GS-5734 inhibits both
- 469 epidemic and zoonotic coronaviruses. Sci Transl Med **2017**; 9(396).
- Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently
- 471 emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. Cell Res **2020**.
- 472 65. Grein J, Ohmagari N, Shin D, et al. Compassionate Use of Remdesivir for Patients with
- Severe Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine **2020**.

- 474 66. Keyaerts E, Vijgen L, Maes P, Neyts J, Van Ranst M. In vitro inhibition of severe acute 475 respiratory syndrome coronavirus by chloroquine. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004; 476 323(1): 264-8. 477 67. Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al. In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Projection of Optimized Dosing 478 Design of Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome 479 Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Clin Infect Dis 2020: ciaa237. 480 68. CHEN Jun LD, LIU Li, LIU Ping, XU Qingnian, XIA Lu, LING Yun, HUANG Dan, SONG 481 Shuli, ZHANG Dandan, QIAN Zhiping, LI Tao, SHEN Yinzhong, LU Hongzhou. A pilot study 482 of hydroxychloroguine in treatment of patients with common coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19). J Zhejiang Univ (Med Sci) 2020; 49(1): 0-. 483 484 69. Liu T, Zhang J, Yang Y, et al. The potential role of IL-6 in monitoring severe case of 485 coronavirus disease 2019. medRxiv 2020: 2020.03.01.20029769. 486 70. Gritti G, Raimondi F, Ripamonti D, et al. Use of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19 487 pneumonia requiring ventilatory support. medRxiv 2020: 2020.04.01.20048561. 488 71. Bhimraj Adarsh RLM, Amy Hirsch Shumaker, Valery Lavergne**, Lindsey Baden, Vincent 489 Chi-Chung Cheng, Kathryn M. Edwards, Rajesh Gandhi, William J. Muller, John C. O'Horo, 490 Shmuel Shoham, M. Hassan Murad**, Reem A. Mustafa**, Shahnaz Sultan**, Yngve Falck-491 Ytter**. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Treatment and 492 Management of Patients with COVID-19 493 . IDSA **2020**. 494 72. Duan K, Liu B, Li C, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-
- 495 19 patients. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2020: 202004168.

498

496 73. Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, et al. Treatment of 5 Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19 With 497 Convalescent Plasma. JAMA 2020.

Source	Mode of transmission	RNA by PCR (Days since onset of symptoms)	Viable virus (Days since onset of symptoms)
Nasopharynx	Droplet	Up to 37 days	Up to 7 days (in mild cases)
Sputum	Droplet / airborne during aerosolize- producing procedures	Up to 37 days	Up to 7 days (in mild cases)
Stool	No evidence of faecal-oral transmission	> 30 days	Only 1 report; uncertain
Blood	No viable virus to date	Up to 14 days	No
Urine	No viable virus to date	No	No
Conjunctiva	No viable virus to date Macaques with corneal inoculation develop infection	Yes	No
Vertical	No strong evidence of vertical transmission to date	No	N/A