WORKING PAPER NO. 221

Commodity and Credit in Upland Maharashtra

a. 1800 - 1950

SUMIT GUHA





Centre for Development Studies
Ulloor, Trivandrum 695 011

Commodity and Credit in Upland Maharashtra . 1800-1950

Sumit Guha

Introduction

The notion that the backwardness of Indian agriculture may be explained in terms of the institutional, and more particularly, the market expecture within which it functions, is not wholly a movel one, elements of such an explanation being found in a number of 19th century writings on the sabject. This approach has recently been applied by several authors, particularly Bharadwaj (1984), and Bhaduri (1984). The latter has systematized, emthesised and developed all the elements commonly to be found in theories of 'forced commercialisation', and a close seniting of his views is a necessary preliminary to any discussion of the evolution of markets in rural India.

Bhaduri's model may be viewed as composed of two distinct parts: one part seeks to explain the genesis of the system of forced commerce, and the other to examine its structural feature, once it is established. The two issues are not necessarily related, and the bulk of the book is devoted to the second, which the author evidently regards as the more significant. I shall however, examine them both.

The beginnings of forced commerce, according to Bhaduri, lie in a tration where a small peasantry is faced with a heavy tax burden, and compelled between for subsistence. Land is not, at this time, a marketable commedity.

St. Stephen's College, Delhi; currently at Centre for Development Studies. he writing of this paper has been made possible by my tenure as Visiting thelar at the Centre; I have benefitted from the comments on an earlier version and to a seminar in November 1986. I am especially gratoful to C.R. Reddy for is detailed comments on the draft. Responsibility for errors, is of course, aclusively mine.

The weakness in this argument is that one cannot see why the moneylender should lend to the peasants of all: if the tax burden is so heavy as to encroach on the subsistence of the persants on a regular basis, there is obviously no chance of repayment. Introducing a seasonal factor does not materially alter the picture: the landers' return is essentially limited by the peasants' post—tax surplus. Nor will it be greatly changed by introducing (a) tenancy market a market for land — even after the moneylender has driver the debtor from the land, the new tenants' rent cannot exceed his surplus about successful and the land tax still has to be paid. (b) Nor will any buyer of land (presumably a moneylender as the peasants have no funds) pay more for the land than the opportunity cost of his funds will permit, since there is my shortage of potential peasant borrowers to be expropriated. But if the post surplus is small or negative, there will evidently be no buyers for the land. So the possible development of forced commerce hinges on the size of this surplus tax surplus — and will, in fact be directly related to the size of this surplus

If the moneylender, in fact, lends in a situation where the tax and other claims on the peasant have forced him below subsistence, then all or most of the loan must be directed towards productive investment, so as to generate an income for its own repayment, which would otherwise be impossible Lending purely for consumption would pay only if there is a large margin between the borrowers current consumption and minimum subsistence, the squeezing of which will repay the loan, or if the borrower possesses substantial assets. In either case, given minimal prudence, he should not allow himself to be draym into the web of forced commerce.

So, to recapitulate, if forced commerce begins because of the substatence needs of heavily taxed peasants, it must soon direct itself towards investment in order to generate a rising agricultural surplus. Only if agricultural incomes and land values are rising from exogenous causes can system of forced commerce based upon consumption lending exist on a long-term sasis - and even then if it does not choke off such investment as may be necessary to maintain this trend. Thus, we are left with the somewhat paradoxical result that the theoretical system of forced commercialisation developed by limitari to explain agrarian stagnation, in fact presupposes agricultural graph.

so far we have concentrated on the first part of Bhaduri's argument and found it to be incomplete in that it does not adequately consider the costs,
thertunities and returns of the moneylender. This came disregard weakens the
structural analysis of backward exticulture as well. The moneylender is pictured
as imposing an increasingly energies and of contracts on his dectors/tenants
but the presumably increasing cost of policing and enforcing these contracts
is not considered. Now, these enforcement costs may amount to nothing more
than personal labour for the moneylendur - but then the number of his clients
will necessarily be limited, as will be the capital employed, and, in absolute
terms, the gross income. If bailiffe or during are employed, then obviously
pail-out costs will rise. Finally, there is also a variable degree of personal
risk run by the donaylender, which we may fairly presume to be an increasing
function of his harshness, or of the energus nature of his terms.

Thus, costs of "forcement increasing steaply as the peasant is pushed towards or below minimum subsistence, and costs will begin to exceed 2/ returns at some points. If the peasants' income is low, and his surplus small, this point is reached fairly soon. Depending on the opportunities and returns in other lines of business, the moneylender may not find it profitable to work within the system of forced commerce.

It may be objected that the argument has so far nor considered the possibility that the leader wishes to secure a tied labour supply, and not merely commodities or land. This however presumes that the leader is engaged in production of some kind, and can utilise labour - this would not be true of till moneylenders. Furthermore, minimum subsistence for the labourer will have to be provided, and therefore the leaders impose from this source cannot exceed the difference between market wage and subsistence cost which can scarcely be a very large sure and which was perhaps already being delivered in kind by the debtor-tenant, who would in any case require less supervision than a bonded labourer.

This failure to consider the costs and roturns of the moneylender weakens the model analytically in that it fails to fully consider the interests of what is evidently the stronger party in the arrangement, and therefore, to look at the more important of the possibilities for the breakdown of the system which then necessarily becomes self-enclosed and perennial.

So far this paper has confined itself to criticising the logical structure of the 'forced commercialisation' model, with only peripheral referent to actual historical conditions; I now propose to examine the actual history of

a particular region, and to show that the evolution of markets may be explained in terms of the criticisms already made.

The region in question is what I term 'Upland Maharas.tra', or the partion of that state which lies above the Western Chats. Before Independence this area was divided among three administrations: the western districts were unter the Bombay Government, Vidarbha formed part of the C.P. & Berar, and the country-eastern districts were ruled by the Nizem of Hyderabad. The agriculture of this region was almost wholly dependent on the rains and was therefore this precarious, with frequent failures of crops. Towards the end of 19th century, the development of irrigation, chiefly in the western parts, and the comparation of cotton production in the areas suitable for it, lent a degree of busyancy to the economy - but this was by no means a particularly prosperous ree, as the comparative table below shows. Blyn's Lomoay-Sind' includes the sceure, and comparatively prosperous province of Gujarat, and his 'Central Province' includes large parts of present-der Madhya Prodosh.

Cutput of Ali Crops i	in Various Provinces of India (As. per capita)	
	1911-12	1941-42
Greater Bengal Uttar Pradesh Madras Greater Punjab Bombay-Sind C.P. & Berar	51 45 44 45 49 58	32. 31 36 43 41 45

Note: Bombay output relates to 1912-13, as earlier year was a scarcity year. C.P. and U.P are 1942-43 for the same reason.

Source: Blyn, 1966, Tables 4C & 4D.

The Historical Background

The economy of Upland Maharashtra was quite highly monetised as early as the 17th century. In common with any areas of Mughal India, it paid its land revenue mainly in cash, and, given the magnitude of the demand - a third or a half of the gross produce - this inevitably meant the extensive penetrate of trade and money not the rural economy. Money began to appear not merely in transactions between the State (or its revenue assignces) and the peasants but also in the mutual relations of the peasantry themselves.

"The market mechanism, once established must have reacted on the mode of agricultural projection. It not merely introduced money relations into a system of 'natural economy', but also engendered a shift to high-grade crops and cash crops...." (Irfan Jabib, 1969: 41) The same pattern persisted in the 18th century successor states.

The exaction of revenue in cash would, given the poor communications and uncertain markets, obviously afford great opportunities for intermediaries possessed of a liquid resources needed to act as bankers and merchants, and such men became wealthy and influential. In our area, the most important ones were naturally those resident in the Peshwa's capital, Poona:

A very large proportion of the revenue sent there was remitted either by bills drawn from the districts upon the Poona banks, or if paid in cash, passed through the hands of bankers who profited by the exchange of coins before the collections reached the public treasury. Bankers had in consequence their agents in the districts, and the ramifications of the money trade, in leans to be Ryots, to the renters of Villages and districts, extending to every quarter, created a wide circulation of specie, which returned to their coffers with an abundant accumulation of interest. Accommodation of this nature were frequently, too, repaid in grain, which was received at a price such below the market rate, and consequently brought great returns to the lengers. (W.Chaplin Report d. 20 August 1822 E.I.P. IV: 516) The rents were may payable entirely in cash, but were frequently resitted by the villagers to the Mambutdars, by huwal a or orders on Sahookars.

"The Ryot in like manner often paid the potail by similar assignments. The latter mode was much prevalent; so much so that it is estimated that scarcely twenty-five percent of the revenue was paid directly in ready money". (J.Macleed in E.I.P. IV: 632) These great morchant-moneylenders (henceforth referred to by the indigenous term savkars) were closely connected with the state revenue they helped to realise. To take one example : Morshet Marje lent money to both the Raja of Satara and the Peshwa; his son, Dhanshet was granted *aranjam, / revenue grant / , and subsequently made Subhoder of Ahmadabad. He founded the Manggalwar Peth of Poona, and was appointed hereditary head of its merchants (Shete). (Divekar 1982: 420-9). Lending to the Government, an early nineteenth century British official commented, was productive of great advantages to the Saheokars, both as regarded their prosperity with interest, and the influence it gave them with the Government, by which they were generally supplied with a few Government Peons. Their advances were generally speedily remaid with interest, increased by the various impositions of mana kusur, heondawanee, and unnotee manoti? 7. Every delay in repaying increase in these several items, and the principal was, when recovered, often equalled by interest'. (E.I.P: IV, 802).

A glimpse of the manner of operation of the great sahukers may be gained by examining the activities of Viccajee and Pestonjee Merjee. Under the Peshwa these men were revenue farmers in the Kankan, and they contracted to collect transit and custom duties in the area after the establishment of British rule. In 1825 - 26 they sent the first consignment of Berar cotton to reach Bombay, amounting to 500 bullock loands. Berar was at that time under the Nizam of Hyderabad, and the Merjees seem to nave been actively, encouraged by British officials to involve themselves in the trade and administration of Berar — perhaps in order to promote the export of cotton from there. In their can words:

They encouraged and stimulated the growth and cultivation, made arrangements for facilitating the purchase and carriage of the article and for all these purposes large advances in money were obliged to be made, not only to the growers but also to the resident traders in Berar and Bonbay. By these means and by removing many impediments to transit in the Nizam's territories. Viccajee and Festonjee so greatly increased this trade that the quantity of cotton conveyed from Berar and other parts of the Nizam's dominions, into Bombay in the year 1835-36, had amounted to 200,000 bullock loads or 48,000 lbs., the value of which was 60 lacks or 600,000 l.

They also became involved in lending money to the Mizam, and were given charge of the revenue of the Berar valley and some other territories, which combined with their importance in the local cotton trade must have given them enormous power. However, they were forcibly dispossessed in 1845, and

Time : 200-224).

John Malcolm remarked of such arrangements, 'The lands of the Maratha pinces are generally rented; and as many of the renters are either bankers, of men supported by that class, that have acquired, and maintain an influence, who in the councils of the state, and the local administration of the province hat gives them great power, which they solely direct to the object of accumulating lent grain for both seed and food, and this "connexion between the most arbit of all the natives and the industrious cultivators has generally the effect of keeping the latter poor, but saves them from ruin; which would molve a loss of all the bankers had ventured on the produce of their labour". (J.Kalcolm 1970 II; 38-40).

The great savkars were the uppermost runge of the ladder; below them there were men operating on a more local scale, but nonethelese important in their localities, such as, for instance, Lala Chutaram of Ahmadnagar, whose ctivities were described by a business associate; who wrote that Chutaram,

enjoys the Patelship of two villages and the Kulkurnyship of 4 villages: the Deshmookship of 72 villages has been given to him in mortgage. Besides he has under him 5 villages belonging to a Jagheerdar. He has likewise a large establishment at home besides his farming stock and husbandry implements. He is likewise engaged in many commercial transactions. On this account he is enabled to advance to the Kunbees he known and take from them the produce of their fields.

So the Iala combined revenue contracts and Venal office with oultivation, trade and moneylending. (B.A.R.D. Vol 7/412 of 1832 p.238).

The village level savkars were in many cases probably men such as those described by Coats in the Poona Village of Loni in 1819 or so. Of them he wrote:

they are bankers as well as merchants. When they advance a few rupees to any of the inhabitants without occurity they charge 2 pice interest monthly on the rupee which is 37 per cent. They allow a credit of 12 months on the sale of their merchandise; after which interest is charged. A good doal of their traffic with the cultivators is carried on by barter, who give grain and the produce of their fields for greceries & c., the settlement commonly taking place at the time of harvest. (Costs 1823: 222).

Finally there was lending among the cultivators themselves:

Coats records that in addition to money debt about a fourth of the inhabitants were indebted to their neighbours for grain and straw horrowed till the next harvest, and to be repaid with fifty or seventy-five percent interest.

The whole of the produce, therefore, of the cultivator is generally mortgaged before it is reaped, to satisfy the various demands on him. This is the case in ordinary times; but in bad seasons, or in case of any calemity, the evil is much increased. (Coats 1823:213).

Lemi was located in the comparatively secure and prosperous country close to Poonat conditions were harsher in the much reveged frontier march of Mandesh. Its first Collector, Briggs, reported in 1818, shortly after its occupation by the British, on how the ordinary peasant subsisted:

Before the ploughing season has arrived, he has perhaps bosn obliged to borrow a few Rupees in advance on the next years or or pay this year's demand of the government and he is fortunate if he can realise the sum at less than 40 or 50 per cent. He now comes on the Sahookar to advance him seed for which he promises to pay 12 Seers for every Seer, and frequently 2 Seers at the harvest time. During the growth of the grain, and while there is much vegetation in the fields, the Ryot continues to subsist on the green corn and on greens pick't out of the hedges which, added to his other food makes a tolerable medl. The moment the grain is gathered this recources ceases, and government requires him to pay the rents, there is no immediate sale for the grain, and he takes up money from the Sahookaka, pays a portion of the rent, and gives his crop or the greater part of it, after paying all former claims, to liquidate the debt, and this grain te compounds to sell in bulk for less than it is selling in the market in order that he may realize a sum of money immediately. When the remainder of his grain is exhausted he is obliged to purchase it for food at an advanced price, and before the time for sowing comes on again, he is in precisely the same dilemma as at the beginning of the year. (J. Briggs, 22 Dec. 1813, D.C.R. Vol. 174, No. 336).

Rates of interest

Rates of interest could vary considerably: a wealthy and influential savkar like Gengadharashastri Patwardhan 'borrowed money from different people at the mite of half per cent per wouth and lent it to the needy at the rate from one to two percent depending on the situation'. (Divekar 1982: 439). In the Poons village of Loni, 37 per cent was charged for cash loans, and 50 to 75 per cent in kind. the villages of Ahmedragar district in the years before the establishment of British rule, loans had been made 'in which only Rupees 75 were paid for the hundred acknowledged, and interest charged on this sum at the rate of 3 Rupees per month, Rupees 36 annually.... (J.A.Dulloy 29 Sept. 1826 3.7.2. 369/38) In the kingdom of Nappur, the terms of loans in the 1620s, to 'Putals and Ryote the terms are commonly two per cent a month, and two per cent deducted from the original sum; but to extisaus or mechanics of the lower classes, they are more unfavourable, being three or four per cent a month or even more!. (Jenkins 1866:65). The highest rates of interest were in unronically disturbed and war ravaged areas like the northern province of Rhandesh. Its first dritish collector reported the presence of Arab mercenaries, who possessed numerous bonds for debt, and these were found to have originated

in times when rebels or contractors the fermera were levying contributions on the country, and coercing the introltants by tortures, at a period-like this, the Arabs, few in number, but wealthy and bold, a wanced money to the people and placed one or two of their brethren to reside in

of monthly pay, usually at the rate of 120 per cent per annum (J.Briggs 21 Oct. 1818 D.C.R. Vol. 173 No. 253)

Heal rates of return may not have been as high as the nominal:
in 1806, in order to satisfy the demands of Wahid Ally Chan Bungush, the
villages of Kirdee and Nimboree borrowed %.1235 and 16,000 respectively
from the powerful jagirdar, Suryajee Rao Nimbalkur, at 10 per cent per
tenth - which seems almost a standard rate in Khandesh then. Upto the end
of 1818 Kirdee had paid %.5086 and Nimboree %.24,613 - evidently far less
than the *tipulated rate. (H.W.Hodges 18 Dec.1816 D.C.R. Vol174 No.537).

Keden of Recovery

The dysetion of actual recoveries of loans brings up the question of hew recovery of debts was affected. It is likely that in a great majority of cases repayments were voluntary - if only to ensure future credit. I this failed, however, there were various methods available to different linders. The great savkar, connected with the State could probably itselfy recoup himself, if necessary by force. The same might be true of the commanders of cavalry who made loans to the villages in linearizar, there such loans were principally made by men in command of the purpose within the assigned possessing authority to recover the money.... (Indep 18.119/38). Similarly, the Jagirdar Vinchurkar's agent was found to have made some forty loans to villages within the assigned remains of laking and Songir. In 8 out of 11 cases where the purpose was attend, the loans were for one repair of village walls; obviously, a

plundered village could pay nothing to its jugirdar; and if anyone could hope to recover, he could. (5 July 1830 B.A.A.D. Vol.53/520 of 1833 p.74). A village potentate, such as its headman, right also recover directly; in the Hagpur kingdom, if a peasant borrowed from a patil and defaulted.

to please his cattle and implements of agriculture, as well as the produce of his fields. Should be still continue insolvent, the <u>Putel</u> seized everything be had, and took a deed of sale from him, specifying the particulars of his property, and declaring that the transfer had been voluntary, and that he would never reclaim it. (Jankins 1866:121).

The authority of the Government might also be invoked to collect a debt: but in this case a quarter or a fifth of the money recovered and to be paid as a fee. S.N.Sen however believed this method to be in frequently used because of the wide latitude given to a creditor in recover by personal pressure, such as diarna (Sen 1976: 225-6). Another means might be to appeal to a panchayat of the caste, the village or some wider body and its decisions could be enforced on the loser. Under the Feshwa, the person who lost his case

"seldom had his property cold in civil cases, but in order to persuade him to pay up as quickly as possible he was generally compelled to sebmit to considerable personal violence amounting perhaps to a degree of torture....

The reason behind this is fairly obvious. A root had

no targible property, and his bullocks and implements of husbandry could not be sold without risk of reducing him to the rank of a hired labourer with a consequent less of revenue to Government. Whatever he had saved was druptless concealed, buried or deposited with his relations, and the only way to get him to disgorge for the payment of his decreesed debt was cone arbitrary process which deprived him of his liberty or which caused him such personal inconvenience as would occasion him to settle up speedily. (Franks n.d.:29-30.)

It is likely that under such pressures the debtor might well surrender all his property, including such rights in land as he might pesses - and certainly in the deeds of sales of patilki waters the notive of the soller is often stated to be the pressure of debt. With regard to mirac land, however, the holder had the right to reclaim it even for a long absence, and so could avoid its transfer by emigration. Thus Coate remarked that the mirandar is

always ardently attached to his estate, and attacks to
it as long as he derives a bare subsistence from it;
and when he is compelled to quit it, he returns as
soon as circumstances will permit. It is always with
great reductance that he formally alienates it by sale
to another; he rather goes abroad, and endeavours to
accumulate a little money by labour to relieve his
distresses, or he onlists for a soldier till better times.
(Cents 1823:227)

James Grant in Satara noted a similar phenomenon; miras was often mortgaged by 'Sillidars /velf-equipped cavalignen 7 about to take the field, or any person in immediate want of money.....! (P.D. Chokeey 1964:52). It many cases, these mortgages may have turned into calculate as the circastar failed to return. But if the mirastars need for credit had been prompted by the burden of the tax on his land, it would in fact be a very bad security, as no other cultivator could sake it pay. Exphinatone found in the early years of British make that if the sincedar colinquished his land 'it is often caltivated by an colonia feed or may teaant 7 at a reduced rent...' (E.I.P. III:308).

The security of property in land, however uncertain of sectionation and fluctuating in value, was confined to a limited trea, the core of the Peshwas' dominions. Further East, in Vidarbha.

The utmost they This remaints T can claim or ever do claim, is right of occupancy or oblivation subject to the payment of the demand of the Government, whatever they may be. This chaim.... is apparently of no value.... A Ryot cannot let his field to another person; This can only be done by the Patel. (Anon. 1945, : 1,3).

Similarly, the first British official in the contented, and much rave, and bouler lands of the Karnatak reported that

Enams and official Wattuns are everywhere Meeras; but the high acsessment on the lands of common mysts seems to have destroyed meeras so long ago that it is not to be recognised either by record or tradition: Cardens and Lands on which Synte have apont depital and indeed.

so far weeran, that the holders are never ensted so

long as they pay their Mista, nor would the Sirker

provelent such gardens being sold, provided the

purchaser pay the usual rent - but even Gardens are

too highly assessed to be saleable, and no instance of

sale has been met with. (St. John Thackerny (n. l.) No.37,

U.A.R.D. Vol.18/70 of 1823). And the same cituation

seems to have prevailed

in the northern border march of Khandeeh. (E.I.F. IV, 694). In such regions, of course, the only necurity would be moveable goods, and the passession of summary power of recovery assential for successful lending. And even alsowhere, as we have seen, immovable see written were rather uncortain investments. (See also Guha 1987)

II

Sorly British Bule

The onset of British rule in the Fosiwa's serritory had one unintended effect: the weight of the land-tex imposed in conjunction with a steep fall in prices wiped out the calcable value of fully assessed land by the end of the 1820s. The situation is central characteristics are thus assimilated with that prevailing in the north and cost and so, for some two decades, fully-assessed land was to have no market value in any part of our region.

For the rest, the situation changed less than has sometimes been supposed. The great lankers appropriated with the former Government went into decline (Divekar 1982); and the new regime did not farm out its revenues, closing off one lubrative channel for savker capital. When it betroved, it did so to the benefit of rentiers in London, or Agency houses in Bombay or Calcutta. The great house of renjee which had famed the customs of the Konkan under the Peshwa, as well as ender the British, departed in the 1820s, to the greener pastures of Term under the Nimer where it ultimately came to farm the whole revenue of the province, and, forced to lend to the Nizam, went bankrupt.

Little changed in the villages and taluks however. The revenue continued to be exacted in cash, and with unexampled rigour. The State commonly impounded the harvested crop till the tax was paid or security given for its discharge (Guhr 1985:26-7), as the crop formed almost the only tangible asset possessed by the measures. The inevitable consequence was that the possents' dependence on the Savkans increased, as is brought out in official correspondence on the subject of revenue payment by 'hawala' - bankers' security. So W.S. Boyd, Collector of Khandesh unote in 1837:

It is true we might order the Mamlutdars not to receive havals at all, but t is would not benefit the routs a straw, indeed on the contrary as producing the coin a month sooner instead of taking a Havala chit payable in 30 days would only be the cause of swelling the rout's account of interest with his parker who is after all, in 9 cases

out of 10 the purchaser of the chops; so that under any characters the evil must exist a long as our Sultivators possess nothing beyond the reary' produce of their fields and uncertain markets for course are uctions.

(Loyd 10 Feb.1837 B.A.R.D. Vol.60/818 of 1837; 71-72

The system could also be linked with production credits. So the Reverse Commissioner, Pringle observed in 1843, that the havala system was most common.

where the bultivators are poor, and the crops that
valuable kind requiring a large amount of choital for
their production, and affording considerable accurity
for envances and uson them, while the returns perhaps
are distant, the immediat, accommodation afforded by it
cuch as to render its estare suppression a matter of
and difficulty. I have accordingly found it most
reserved to in what are a parently the most productive
villares such as Marreyengaon in the Poons Collectorate
where there is much fertile land under vives irrigation —
Sinner in the Ahmednuggur Collectorate where there is also
much valuable garden land — Bassein in the Tanna Collectorate,
a district of gardens — and the irrigated country in Laughan
and Pispulneir in the Candeish Collectorate. It is also more

The Nature of Security

The fundamental constraint under which both private creditors and the State operated under in this period was well formulated by a Governor of Lombay in 1635:

The Ryut can in fact give no good security for arrears...
except the produce of his grounds. His general effects are
next to nothing. The confinement of his person would be
an expedient equally unpopular and ineffectual. The sale
of his land, or rather his hereditary right of tillage would
scarcely afford a recourse more promising...(R.Grant 2 July
1835 B.A.R.D. Vol. 35/555 of 1835 p.291)

Lut the crop was an uncertain quantity, and, as remarded foodgrains; liable also to depreciate catastrophically if the hervest was a good one. Further, the State, both by law and force majoure, (much the same thing at the time), had first claim on the hervest, so that the private creditors' security was further attenuated, and his risk increased. To neduce these hazards by interlocking the credit and commodity markets' was not morely experient but essential. The availability of irrigation reduced the chance of crop failure, and the products of such land were

productive villages' that the movement was nost victing to lend, and also to gurrantee the reverse payment by haupla.

Of course, this control of two markets were made to redound to the savakar's benefit, and so, as Forjett described it in 1846

the village Banian...pays the rent Acadetex on his own on terms, and in 75 cases out of a 100, all the grain, the produce of the labour of the cultivator during a whole year, is taken by the Banian for probably half what it would yield him, if he were at liberty to take it to the nearest market...

(Forjett 1846 pg. 28, sited in B.A.J.D. Vol. 12 of 1851, Mo. 518).

Умлораому

bouseholds was dependent on the ready availability of loans and advances.

This maturally gave rise to monopoly and nononsons in the commedity

market. From the merchant's point of view this meant that it was democrible

to secure commodities from the actual producer of those advancing for them;

as A.S.Finlay told the Parliamentary Committee of 1852-53:

You cannot buy the produce from the cultivator without without without without without without without without without saking advances of money before it is grown. The natives were exceedingly poor; they have not the means of buying

food for themselves and their bullocks till the crop is ready: they must Ttherefore have advances from someone.

(pp.1852-3 XXVIII:19).

The same point was made by Elphirston, Collector of Khencesh, in 1050 when the idea of State purchase of cotton was mooted: "without advances such as all native cotton merchants make, Government will enter the field on worse terms than the native cotton merchants, who will buy at all the cotton, and Government may be unable to buy it except from second or third hands, at an enhancement of the price... (P.P.1857 EXEL Pt.III 615 In Berar the system was that "the purchasers make advances before the season for growing cotton arrives, stipulating to receive so much cotton at a centain rate; the profits made under this system are said to be enormous, and it obtains equally in East Berar and the Raichore Doab..." (P.P.1863: 506).

This mode of business of course implied local residence, and this is specified by R.H. Fenwick, who was engaged in the cotton trade at Elangaum: "The Ryuts generally sell their Kuras to vill se Mahajuns (Bankers) who are almost all of them cotton deslers from whom they secure early advances at 2 and sic / per measure according to the reputation of the betrower."

(N.A.I.L.Rev.12 Aug.1846 p.82). The great dealers generally had to suplay them as middlemen or to establish their own sub-agencies. Fenwic: described this is a letter of 1836:

The exporters of cotton to the coast are chiefly opulant individuals and native firms of Bombay. They have gomeshas

who have located themselves at Khangsum from whence they send out subordinates to the various pergunasha to make advances to patche or substantial ryote of villages about two months previous to the gathering, at 2 per measures such security is taken as can be got, and they deem good, usually of mahajuns or able and wealthy patches themselves. They likewise purchase cotton from the mahajuns, who are settled in the kusbas, and almost every respectable village in the country, these mahajuns having made advances to the ryots in a similar way. (P.P. 1847-48. IX:396)

A similar relationship between the 'exporters' and locally resident

**Errs existed in Khandesh (P.P.1857. XXXI: 158-9). Given the

**Executive of credit and marketing described above, it is not surprising
the find that monopsony excreacy widespread. In the Berar cotton market

**Ethangaum in the 1830s, the principal revenue officers - probably men
like the Morjecs - and salukars, not at the beginning of the season of
fixe what is called a Subookar's orice. This, however could only be
imposed on ordinary sellers; when cotton was brought in large quantities
by Toountry dealers it changed bands at the "market price".

(P.P.1847-48-IX: 398). Nor did the system prevail solely in Derar: in
the 1830s W.S.Boyd, Collector of Khandesh repeatedly draw the Government's
attention to such practices with respect to cotton and indigo in his
cistrict. Here the local monopoly was reinforced by the Great dealers
controlling transport also the Danjeras were bound to them by loans,
and could not work for others. So that the mere information that prices

are high in Bombay or elsewhere is of no use if the possibility of obtaining carriage was denied, which it would be to the general purchaser.... (B.R.P.371/53 No.3249).

Rates of Interest

from the western part of Poona district in 1840, Shat loans on personal security were taken at 2 pice per Rupee per month. 237.5% p.s. 7 sometimes even at one pice per week. Some could borrow at 25 per cent, sawai, for the year, while others had to pay that at site conthly intervals. (3.4.R.D. Vol.187/1644 of 1844 p.277-8). Reporting in 1840 from the adjacent district of Ahmadnagar, the same officer whote:

money at 6 per cent per armun can be had by men of respectability and wealth, and is current among merchants: though with others 12 percent per armum is the usual minimum. For people in ordinary circumstances interest new commonly averages about $2\frac{1}{2}$ Rupees per measure.

Four Sirrasco per Rupee per month on 30 per cent per annum, is a common rate with the poorer Nyots - two Sirrees per Rupec per mensom can be bed by Ryots of the better classes. These are the ordinary entremes with the cultivators: 2,3 and 4 Sirrasce being almost the only interest known among them. That is termed Kotra viz. 12 per cent per annum only concerns porsons of the higher and wealthier classes (T.O.R. Vol.2472, Coll.46)

Modes of Recovery

The introduction of Critish rule did not make as great a difference to savkars' methods of operation as has cometimes been supposed. A certain'

proportion of cases had always appeared before Government officers, and this resource was considerably used for recovery of debt even before the promulgation of formal judicial regulations in 1827. Between January and June 1825, 5388 suits were decided in the December of these, 3912, or 73 per cent related to debt. (Franks n.d.: App.6). Older methods of collection generated as well: W.Bartle Frere, writing in 1840 from the Poona district taluks of Khed and Mawal, reported that the local savings did not use the Courts much. "In the first place, many of them have a parcel of 'Dunns' in their service, who, by sitting Thurna (at the risque of being punished if complained of) and by other means of annoyance mumbed in recovering their debts from all who are able to pay....". There was no profit, Frere continued, in having the rest in riconed for dobt, and the lender could always depend on the hereditary nature of debt for his ultimate repayment. (B.E.D. 107/1664 of 1844 p.235-6).

Nor was the practice of revenue officers' lending by any means extinct in 1839 in Khandesh there were several case of Tatils charged with misappropriating revenue, who proved that the money had been paid for private debts. Again, where the hamal system was prevalent, it would be difficult for anyone who could not keep his accounts separate to know that he had gaid on Government account. (I.C.R.373/14 No.2048).

Furthermore, the poorer villagers would also stand in some awa of the Patil, and this would raturally assist his recoveries. So for instance, was Chandra walad Sumbhoo patil of Rozesna (Nasik) / convicted of having extorted at from Tookersm waled Satwajee after intimidating him by caying he would

"complain against him to the Sirkar". Such influence might also be utilised for the benefit of other lenders: the same Chandra received bajri worth 5s.30 from one Hoondes Bace, on undertaking to collect the debts due to her. He did not do so, which is why we know of it.

(B.A.R.D. Vol.66/of 1839 p.132, 134).

Nor was the phenomenon limited to village officers; Ranchender Ballajee, Mamlatdar of Yaval was found by the Collector to have subrendered "his influence in the District into the hands of Sources.... Similarly, another officer, Luxmon Ranchunder, was connected with trade and Danking through the medium of his brother. (B.A.R.D. Vol.136 of 185) Ho.1043).

Courts began to increase under British rule. The Collector of Sholagur reported in 1840 that the use of the Courts to force payment of debts was very great, and that in wany cases, everything, including houses and cloths, belonging to the roots, was sole in execution of decrees. A similar report came from the Collector of Ahmedenger, illustrated by the following Table:

Civil Suits in Russur Collectorate

Year	Total No. Against Agricultural Classes	
1835	6672	2922
1836	6834.	3115
1837	9 859	.4322
1838	10812	5 546
1839	12426	5991

The statistics certainly show an increasing trend, though we also notice that the relatively small non-agricul anal population has a large share of the litigation - which is plat we would expect, given the conditions of access to the Courts. It is also interesting that the districts whose officials report extensive use of the Courts by noneyleners, are also the districts whore the presence of immigrant noneylenders is reported. The link between the lack of local roots and recourse to the courts is cufficiently obvious.

Even these cavitars were not anxious to a rive land, bundered as it was with heavy and arbitrary taxes. The secondity remained the crops are chartels of the debtor. He might also be threatened with imprisonment, and in some cases, actually imprisoned. Down to the 1850s the Decean Riets Commission was informed, "the sale of land was rarely rescribed to, and the realisation by the sale of the debtors' house noticed as an importation..." In the Talegach court, Found district, there were a suits against Kumbia on mortgage of immoveable supporty in 1854; by 1872 the number had increased to 143. (D.R.O.p.59). In fact, as Forjott remarked in 1846, decrees for seizure of accets, or imprisonment were often obtained solely for the purpose of terrorisis, the debtor, and regularly appropriating whatever small surplus he might produce.

[M.A.J. B. Vol.12 of 1851 No.518 pa.31]. The cavitage described by Porjetthewever had recourse to law if

The Ryot, wishing to avoid sinking deport in debt to the Manian reserves a portion of his crops for seed for the ensuing year or for the support of hisself and his family

until the next harvest, the Banian sues him on an old account; or if he pays any one creditor in preference to another suit is immediately filed against him....

(ibid. pa.29).

Given this needy condition of the borrows, and the limited security, a high degree of supervision on the part of the lender was necessary to secure repayment.

Crelit Rationing

In such circumstances it is obvious that spedit would be highly limited and in ividual advances smell. Just how smell may be seen from the operations of Ritchie, Stemart and Cos. cotton agency in Khandesh, which, in 1852 advanced 3.32,536 to tie the crop on 24,901 bights in 241 villages. In Erandole taluha the advances amounted to R. 12 per borrower, or Rs. 1.26 per bigba, while in Amalner Rs. 8 per head was advanced, working out to m.1.22 per in . It is very likely that the local savkar would take grain and miscellaneous produce as well as cotton, and would therefore advance a somewhat larger amount - but even if we double or treble the lian amount, it itill remains a small sum. The cost of administering those very small loans would be in terms of citizen time or money, quite high, all the more so because there were, on average, only 11 bornowers per village. The firm had fixed prices in Vovember; by February prices rose by Rs. 3 a khandi (if kapas, 1,600 lbs.), and the firm round that the cotton was being sold elsewhere, and asked the Collector to "direct the Mamlutdars to assist us in obtaining from the Tyota or their sureties the proper fulfilment of their contracts. A representative of the firm

complained to a Parliamentary Constittee that

without making advances of mency to them before
it is grown; you have no occurity for the
repayment of that money; and from the nature
node of cultivation, as well as from their babits
and their connection with banyans and others, it
very frequently happens that they will not live
you the produce after they have got that advance;
in fact, it is frequently seized by some of their
friends, under the pretence of a previous debt;
therefore you have no occurity for your loan.

The indigenous merchant, he continued, possessed no opecial advantage in larout

Buropeans have not, Iron this circumstance; he is a resident on the spot, his family have been long located in the district and he has considerable farily influence. He has a means, therefore, of enforcing his agreements in that way that we have not. He is subject to losses, and for that very reason exacts an enomous rate of interest and very severe terms from the native grower. (P.P. 1852-53 XXVIII: 19-21)

In there was an element of unral hazard as well as climatic hazard

Yesert. This would again emphasise the necessity of careful regulation.

and may explain another feature of the savkers'operations: the doling out of advances in cash and kind at intervals rather than as a lump sum. This may be understood as a way of rationing credit, and also of ensuring that it was expended in a manner that led to the production of some tangible good desired by the savkar, rather than used to supplement a consumption that was miserably low, or placate an aggressive creditor.

Similar experiences may have led the merchants in Berar to adopt the practice described by Reynolds, of purchasing the chanding crop, so that they did not "wait for the cultivator to pick the cotton; if they did much of it would be purloined by cultivator...." Renolds met Thunraz Seth in 1842, when the latter was planning to send a hundred thousand bullock loads of cotton to Calcutta. Such large dealers would be particularly exposed to the sort of risks encountered by Ritchie; Steurat and Co., and so Thamaz's

Plan was to send out factors into the district, and through his agents, established in every one of the villages in that part of the country to purchase up the cotton before the crop was collected; it was picked by his own people, and packed on the spot and then sent to Omrawattee, where he had established large warehouses, for the purpose of clearing and repacking it; the cotton from thence was sent either to Bombay or to Calcutta, as the case might be and he managed to make a very large fortune out of cotton speculations. (P.P. 1847-45 Vol.IX P.437).

We do not however come across other instances of such tight control being

exercised, and, in fact the gathering of the crop being left in the hands of indebted cultivator was very frequently cited as being the main cause of its dirty condition. (Cassels 1862:290-92). It is quite possible that the cost of watching and harvesting a crop that ripened ever many weeks, in hundreds of different fields, proved too great for most savkars.

So we may describe the situation down to about 1860 as characterized by a high degree of interlocking between the commodity and credit markets, with the associated tendencies towards monopoly and monopsony, and the virtual absence of a land market. Operation in these markets was characterized by sonsiderable uncertainty, to which, it is ingred, interlocking was a response. Again, the smallness of the peasants' curplus, as well the great fluctuations in it, made small frequent transactions and close surveillance of the debtor a necessity for successful operation. 'Overhead' costs both explicit and implicit would therefore be high. In the rest section of this paper we shall see how the working of markets attend in response to economic changes that begun to occur after the 1360s.

III

The Beginning of Change

The windfall gains of the American Civil War years brought forth paeans of self-congratulation from the Government which had been defending its revenue and public works policies against Manchester critics for decades. Now, with the beneficent influences of high prices, rail transport and the entry of European merchants in the mofussil warkets, all economic problems would be solved. I heady tone of

optimism pervades the official writings of these years, and also those of some historians who have ignored the conjunctural and transient nature of the prosperity they described.

Typical of many official reports of the time is that of Rivett-Carnac, Cotton Commissioner, for 1867-68. A few years ago, he writes, the cultivators in Vidarbha were deeply in debt, and decompelled to mortgage their cotton crop for loans, which system threw both the cultivators and the cotton crop of a district into the power of a certain number of moneylenders, who had every object in keeping the trade in their own bands. But, he continues, the Rovenue Survey gave the peasants security of tonure, the high prices made them prosperous and free of debt, while the Railway brought in outside buyers, enabling the cultivator and the Dombay merchant to meet face to face in the cotton market.......

Since the palmy days of the Civil War J...many of the cultivators have doubtless relapsed into the chronic state of inde tedness, which characterised their position during former years and much of the cotton crop is again the hands of the moreylenders, long to fore it sees the cotton market. (Rivett-Carnac 1869 : 132-34)

Prices doclined down to 1676, and the Decean Riots Commission observed that in the Central Decean the boom years left a legacy of greater debt and heavier dependence on the savkars; and the came appears to have been true of the more favoured cotton producing districts to the North.

So the Khandeck Gazetteer of 1880 declare, that "European firms cannot get at the commodities, except by the help of native agency, for as a rule, the Khandesh cultivator thinks of no market beyond his moneylender's versalsh or the local weelly because. Usually, it stated "the husbandman has received advances from or mortgaged his crop to, some village moneylender, who in turn has borrowed from some larger capitalist". (Khandesh Gazetteer: 192,221). Contemporary evidence from Berar presents a similar picture. Far from meeting the Bombay merchant "face to face" in the cotton mar et, at the important market of Whamgaon the cultivators brought in only one-fifth of the crop on their own account, and even this included "cotton brought in by Patels, who generally represent a number of villagers, and who probably in most cases have received advances from the Patels..... The bulk of the crop is under advances and is sold by the moneylenders". (M.A.D. Rept. 1875-9:8). And, finally, to match Forjetts' observation of 1846 that the debtor might be reduced to a state of absolute dependence on the credito, who bear... firthally has mater, we have an almost ididentical account in the Report of the Deccan Riots -Commission. A strong case for continuity village-level in economic structure between the 1830s and 1870s could therefore be made: change could be said to be confined to the upper levels of the market hierarchy. So, we hear that in Khandesh the local synkars lost ground to the Chatias from Bombay, "masters of the new term o by rail and vare", and that European firms increasingly came to eccupy the apex of the marketing hierarchy. At the important market of Barsi, the

Sholapur Gazetteer reported

Almost the whole expert trade is in the bands of the agencies of two Bombay European firms, Messes.Ralli Brothers and Messes. Gaddum, Bythell and Co., and ten or twelve agents of Bombay native merchants. Before 1960 the trade in the interior was in the hands of Marwer and local moneylenders who farmed the Mizam's revenues or were otherwise econnected with that Government, by degrees and after much opposition merchants of Bombay ousted the local capitalists and established agencies in one district after another.....

Furchases in these distant places are made chiefly during the South-West rains (June-October), when, according to crop phospects, advances are made to the landholiers and the produce is bought at low rates. (P.409).

Changes of this nature were of course the menult of better communications by road, wail and telegraph, as also of the changes in the composition and activities of the Bombay merchants community noted by Vicziary. But, as asserted earlier, it could be quite easy to argue that the village level markets remained structurally unaltered by the cotton boom or the new railway. But such an argument would be quite mistaken; gradually, intermittently and bultingly, the nexus between credit and commodity was beginning to dissolve.

Widence of Change

The onset of this dissolution may be noticed in the 1880s. In 1889 A.F. Woodburn was deputed by the Government of Bonbay to enquire into economic conditions, and he sent out a questionnaire to all the subordinate judges and Mamlatdams (taluka executive officers) in nine districts. One of his queries was:

Is it customary for rayats to take their produce themselves to market for sale, or is it customary for them to hand over the produce to their sankers?

If both customs exist, is either becoming more marked than it was, and what are the reasons?

He summarised the answers received as follows:

The substance of what the Subordinate Junges say is as follows. Generally speaking, it is customary for rayats to sell their produce themselves. There both customs exist that of the raject selling him produce himself is becoming more prevalent. A prest change is noted in this respect in Satars; also by the Kopargaon Subordinate Judge for his sub-division in Ahmadnagar. The Nandurbar Subordinate Junge says that the Earls in West Khandesh still mostly hand over their produce to their sankars. The Subordinate Judge Erandol (Khandesh) says that, except among the Gujar Kunbis, who are thriving and prosperous, it is customary for rayats to



hand over their produce to their sawkars. The Subordinate Judge of Bhadgaon (Khandesh) says that all indebted rayats do so. In Nasia a similar remark is made about indebted rayats; it is also said that in the neighbourhood of the Railway rayats sell directly to dealers much more than formerly. In Poona, Ahmednagar and Thana some say that if a rayat has taken an advance of grain he repays his sawkar at harvest, and sells the rest of his produce himself. In Ratnagiri the common remark is if there is any surplus, the ryot sells it himself.

The statements of the Mamladars in the four districts (Ahmadagar, Poona, Sholapur, Satara) are much the same as those of the Subordinate Judges, that is, the rayat usually sells his produce himself, and the custom of handing over to his sawkar is becoming much less common. Infive talukas—of Khandesh and nearly the whole of itselk the Mamladars say it is becoming more common for rayats to hand over their produce to their sawkars. This is attributed to their increased indebtedness putting them more in the sawkar's power. Ar. Frazer, 2nd Assistant Collect of Nasik, writes: "In the dangi parts the grain is almost invariably disposed of to the sawkar; in other places only if the rayat is very much in debt, and the sawkar seizes his there before it leaves the thrashing floor". In Bijapur it is noted that the custom for the rayats to sell their produce themselves has become much more common, owing to the influence of the Railway. In Thana and Ratnagiri the Mamladar's reports do not differ materially from the Subordinate Judges! (Noodburn 1889: 41).

One need not labour the contrast to the situation that had chisted fifty years before. Even the system of cotton marketing began to change. A settlement officer in Dhulf. and Challegron Taluks of Krandesh had commented in 1863 that the rise in action prices had benefited the sawkars who "collect the interest due to them in him?" rather than the cultivators. His successor investigated the marketing system in 1836 and found that hypothecation of the crop against advances was not common.

and is only had recourse to by poorer myets who, being in want of a small amount of money for present occasions have no choice but to take it on the terms dictated by the savkar; in consideration of the money advanced the savkar takes payment in kind at the next hervest at a price about half or the market rate ruling at the time of payment. In many of the villages I was told that none of the roots had entered into such contracts. and in few other that only the poor myots had done so, and that not to the extent of the whole of their crop but only to the amount required to pay oil their dept. I think that the sums advanced by the savkars on this type of contract must be for mull amounts only, for when I made enquiry why the rous in preference to making such ruinous contracts did not apply to the Government for Taxavi advances, I was told that the amounts borrowed were small, and the

ryots incurring the debt did not think it worthwhile to take the extra trouble....(B.G.S.'350:7.9).

In some villages savkars noted changes of this sort also. Eight of them spoke to an official commission in 1892 in Kolgson, Ahmadnagar district:

We lend only in this village and lend less than before the riots 1875 7, and only when we know our man. The ryots support themselves by selling their produce. They take it off to Magar or sell it to marchants from Bombay for cash. The Sahukars now go in for trading in grain, cloth etc.

One should however beward of assuming an absolute transformation in the merchantile system - such things are rate in rural India. There were probably still many southers, who, like those of Khed, Satara district, lent only on standing crops and on account.

(D.A.R.A. 1892: A-34, A-78).

Furthermore, the 1880s had been moderately prosperous years, while the turn of the century was a cycle of devastating famines, followed, in the first decade of the twentieth century by several acute scarcities. Under these conditions, the crop-lien system seems to have received a new lease of life. Keatinge, Director of Agriculture, stated in 1912 that the farmer was often forced to mortgage his crop in advance. In some cases the standing crop might be sold for a lump sum paid down; in others, an advance might be taken three or four months before the

harvest with interest st 9 to 18 per cent, and the crop to besold through the savkar. Alternatively, the crop might be cold in forward delivery.

Arrangements of the various kinds mentioned above are very common in the case of cotton, and a few precessage A.s. just after the famines 7 most of the cotton crop changed hands on such terms, but during recent years the cotton cultivators of Khandesh have profited greatly by the high prices of cotton and are able to dispense to a large entent with advences, and to make better bargains after the harvest. It is estimated that nowadays advances are made against only 25 per cent of the Khandesh cotton crop

This trend persinted into the 1920s, when the Indian Contral Cotton Committee carried out a period of cotton investigations into the finance and marketing of cotton in India. The surveys covered Enandesh twice (1925-26 and 1927-28), and Benar once (1925-26). Several important results emerged for these enquiries. Firstly, that cultivators, except in Sina, were not hampered by their borrowings in the disposal of their kappers. In some regions leaders took undertakings from the borrowers that the crop would be sold through them; but in Berar and Thandesh no bases of written or verbal undertaking were reported.

Civen this circumstance, it is not surprising that the delad or agent of the big cotton merchant or firm did not lend to the cultivator direct, unless he was independently

down as an axiom that in the areas investigated the dalal as such does not take any direct part infinancing the cultivator."

(p.17). The findings of this survey were reconfirmed by the Banking Enquiry Committees at the end of the twenties. The C.P. and Berar one stated in its Report that "as a general rule nowedays the fact of indebtedness does not provent the cultivator from obtaining a fair price for his produce, nor deprive him of a reasonable time for its disposal. (C.P.B.E.C. I: 139). Adatyas were found to lend to cultivators before the harvest. "We made careful enquiries in many villages but did not find that this system resulted in any noticeable abuses, and although the loan resulted in the crop being sold through the broker who had advanced the money as a matter of custom, there was no legal obligation to do so". (Thid., 158). Referring specifically to Berar, the Committee wrote:

Our enquiries show that the amount advanced by brokers, dalals, etc. is relatively small. The general belief that they advance large sums on condition that the borrowers should sell their produce through them had little foundation in fact....(ibid.II:561).

The cultivators themselves had no complaints to make with regard to the operations of lending adatyas. (ibid. I:198). A comparatively similar picture emerges from the Report of the Bombay Enquiry: except in Sind, East Fhadesh and the aboriginal tracts the fact of a cultivator's indebtedness does not usually come in the way of his freedom to dispose of the produce in the manner he thinks best". (N.P.B.E.C. I: 57).

Again, when M.L. Dentwale came to investigate the marketing of cotton in the early thirties, he concluded that the presence of agents of Indian mills and foreign purchasers in the market made it "impossible for the middleman to strike prejudicially the interest of the farmer".

Competition among adatysa was so keen that, in Dantwalas' view, their earnings were "barely in excess of the minimum". Competition to secure business sensitives forced delals to make advances to caltivators who could be found

other dalal, in case they are not given a particular sum. Many had come to grief by reckless advances.

(Dantwala 1937: 52, 31, 116-17).

There was keen competition not merely in the market, but also between different markets, and the villagers were aware of the relative advantage and disadvantages. So the peasants of Delihed, Akola district, told the Engling Enquiry that they went to Amraocti as they gained Ws.5 per cartload of cotton by going there. (C.P.B.E.C. II: 93). Trade could shift away from market with a bad reputation so, for instance in 1907-8 "rvi got such a bad name that the cotton began to go straight to Pelgaon, of the markets in the Nagpur District until better counsels prevailed". (and a S.R. 1913-16). The local monopsonies of a hundred years earlier and evidently disappeared.

Explanations of Change

The resder may perhaps have found nimself slightly lost in the detail of the previous sections; but it should be now clear that the structure of the commodity—our—credit market changed dramatically between say, 1840 and 1920. At the earlier date the bulk of the agricultural commodities entered the market as a result of previous advances, and both commodity and credit markets were characterised by high degrees of monopsory and propoly; by contrast to the twentieth century with its unbridled competition, where svils and losses arose here from the excesses of competition than from the restraints upon it.

The fact of this metamorphosis having occurred once established, one is inevitably led to seek its causes. Some of the possibilities nave already appeared in the preceding pages - as for instances, the improvements in communications and the appearance 'up country' of Bombay and European merchants, to show the peasants could now sell at the growing Railway town mark to.

Ey itself however, this is not a sufficiently powerful explanation. The presence of Rail ay stations or non-local merchants would of no avail unless the peasant was free to market his own produce, in other words if it was not mortgaged for debt. The election is not merely logical - if we examine another cotton exporting region under the Bombay government, viz., Sind, we find that down to the 1930s neither the large-scale operation of foreign buyers, nor considerably improvement in communications, could shake the hold of the savkers on the peasants, and, consequently, on the composity markets.

between 1860 and 1930 were characterized by an upward strend in agricultural prices, which served to considerantly reduce the burden of the land revenue, at any rate. In addition, there was down to the early years of the twentieth century there was the possibility, particularly in Berar, expanding the cropped area. Finally, the early years of the present century also saw the widespread adoption of a high-picking short-staple cotton by the peasants in thursless and Vidaroha. There may have been an increase in tangible property of various sorts. As a part of his enquiry in 1889, Woodburn had a variation made of the tengible assets, such as cattle, houses, implements, etc. but excluding land, of all occupants in a number of villages in the Decean. The asset of are given below:

CONTRACTOR OF STATE	AVERAGE PER CCCUPANT				
A MERCHANIST AND AND THE THE PARTY.	corland assets	Land held	erthag prins brown a property common common	Debts	
Matriot	and the second of the second o	Aroa (acres)	Reverme	(Rs.)	
Peena	207	20	12	171	
Satara.	212	18	21	241	
Shelapur	232	3 હ	19	129	
Amedicingur.	219	29	18	133	
Khanésash	411	22	26	403	
Basik	262	34	32	.111	
djapur	295	36	22	122	
				On account of the second of the second	

fource: Woodburn 1889 : Appendix B, Form 2.

The values in most districts are quite similar, with the cotton exporting districts of Khandesb and Bijarar showing higher anomats, also a feature of Nasik, probably a beneficiary of the wheat export boom of the 1880s. Now, we unfortunately lack comparable data for an earlier period but the literary descriptions appear to indicate that even this moderate amount of property was not to be seen in the 1840s.

Thus, Forjett wrote in 1846:

Beyond the precincts of the towns, and our military contenments and out of the line of the high roads, the villages consist, for the most part, of incerable huts, inhabited by a squalid peasantry whose very appearance denotes a state of destitution. Even in villages which present a better aspect the enquirer soon finds, that throughout the longth and breadth of the land, the people are struggling against poverty and debt....(Perjett pa.19 in B.A.J.D. Vel.12 of 1851, No.518).

Again, a report on the general condition of what were, in the 1880s, prosperous taluks in the comparatively wealthy region of East

Khandesh spoke, in 1845, of the villages as poor, consisting largely of mud huts with one or two rooms, and no furniture

The community consists of almost exclusively of cultivators who own little but their bullcoks, a plough and harrow, a small stock of grain, a few utencils and the scanty raiment that covers them, and no instances of this class being possessed of more than a few ornaments of trifling value have ever, or occasion of robbery or through other indirect channels occurred to notice.

(A.C. Travers 22 Sept. 1845, pa.5, B.R.P. 375/54 No.552)

If therefore, there was some increase in the assets other than and possessed by the peasants, we can see another meason for the plackening of sawkar controls - the availability of a larger collateral. In two flatricts we see the outstanding debt comes very close to the plue of the non-land assets, despite the inclusion of the unindebted in all the averages. Those in debt, therefore, must have been approaching the value all assets - and it is noteworthy that in these districts - Amandesh and Masik - both Judges and Mandesdams respect the survival of supplies on some scale.

Laproved incomes and greater assets did not therefore, necessarily the end of the crop-lien system, but they may well have begun a mifting in the terms of the bargain in favour of the prasant.

Force, wrote in 1883:

when the farmer is independent, the advance is made on condition of repayment in grain at rates based on the brobbile market prices of the next season, and the modit depends on the state of the future market, and

not on the farmear's necessities,,,, should the farmer, however be badly off and indebted to the trader, the advance is calculated on terms which make repayment a sure and large profit to the latter. (F Forde 13 Nov. 1883, B.A.R.D. Vol.29 of 1883).

But if the margin narrowed, advance purchase might bease to be profitable, D.K.Kulkarni, Cotton Supervisor, Khanlesh, emplained the decline of the advance sale system in terms of

the better state of the cultivators and also to the higgling that goes on between them when prices fluctuate heavily. If the prices go down the merchants will not pay the settled price to the oultivators, but will find fault with the cleanliness or the black particles of bracteoles; while when prices are high the cultivator will sell his good lot to another man, while to the merchant from whom the advance is taken, be will give inferior cotton of the third picking, or of lowest ginning. This partitie is almost dying away and is a good thing so the merchants are generally more elever than the cultivators in finding excuses. (Cotton Cttee. 1919, III 26)

Evidently, the successful operation of this system required the lender to possess a high degree of control over the borrower, and anything, such as rising income or increased local competition, which reduced the lenders' power would tend to undermine the viability of the operation. So increased incomes may account for part of the docline in savker control. But the improvements were searcely so great to example the

whole peasantry: in the 20th century as in the 19th most households found themselves with low and uncertain incomes and borrowing remained central to their survival. If the savers had been anxious to enforce crop mortgage, it could have been imposed on the numerous poor peasants, as it was on the tribal people of the region. There were certainly large numbers of indigent peasants who would be in no position to resist; not the system of crop hypothecation seems to have declined from being almost customary to being relatively exceptional. It is most unlikely that this change-over could have occurred without some degree of concurrance on the part of the savkars as a body. And it is to possible explanations of this that we now turn.

fne Savkars' Motivos

Let us recapitulate some of the points made earlier: hypothecation resulted from the fact that the crop was theonly security for the repayment of advances, consequently, there had to be strict limits to credit, leading to a sort of rationing, with reported small advances, often in kind, in order to limit the possibility of diversion from its avowed purpose — and also, of ranse, to the profit of the saviar. Furthermore, as the crop was pathered, it was necessary for him to maintain a constant supervision over his delter — who might not otherwise deliver the stipulated produce, as hitchie, Scenart & S. had discovered. In bad seasons there might also be the need to roll over credit for another season, or to decide to let the creditor fond for himelf — with the risk of loss owing to his death or emigration.

Such a mode of operation implied local residence and knowledge, and also, a distinctly limited amount of capital employed; with, therefore, small absolute earnings. The big merchant would find it nost efficient to contract

through this small local subview, and the cultivetor would menerally find it impossible to by pass him cince few other notential lenders knew him (as Forjet pointed out).

There would obviously a limited number of twoshing floors that the cavkar could visit in one season; and the number of a garate villages would be even fever. Now, the employment of a larger capital in Newer transactions could give the savkar increased profit and, if he choos, increased because too. And certain changes in the market structure that commence in the

This change was the emergence of a fairly active land market. The problemed agricultural depression that had commence on the 1820s now lifted; and, at the same time, the steady growth of the population since the crisis at the beginning of the century, began to make itself falt. Furthermore, the British Government reduced and rationalised the previously high and arbitrary taxed on land, and created a uniform and transferable title to land under the new Survey system. Logal processes for the recovery of Bebt were accelerated and simplified. In these circumstances, saveline throughout our region began to find that they had a new decurity for the dissolvings of Bobt, the reizure and sale of the debtor's land.

The land market was still, however, mascent and highly imperiect, and in many cases there would really exist nothing like an established market value for land. Given the attachment of peasants to their land, their own valuation might exceed any other conceivable offer for it. Oligiant, Collector of Peops wrote in 1873: The ryots invariably set a higher price upon their lands than they are really worth, and will not as a rule part with them for their actual

value". (Guha 1985: 155). This own valuation of collateral could be exploited by the moneylender, who by threats of foreclosure could extract more from the debtor than any other tenant was likely to pay. (eg. Bhaduri 1924:71). The Deccan Riots Commission noted:

the sowher's object.....is to obtain the ryot's produce; he makes advances against this security: he does not desire to obtain the land unless the profits from land are exceptionally high, as in the lowly assessed district of Ahmednagar, or unless owing to the competition of other creditors the return to be made out of his debtor is less than that recoverable from the land. So long as the sowher has a monopoly of his debtor, he can secure not only the profit of his agriculture, but somewhat also of his labour; when his monopoly is infringed, he takes the land and reluctantly meandons the rest. (L.R.C.: 67). The imperfectly developed state of the land market is shown by the Commission's comment that sale values were difficult to ascertain as there were "few boat....." (ibid.60).

Imperfectly developed though it might be, the appearance of a market for land, gave the savkar a new security, and a new hold on the debtor. This would permit a larger extension of credit, since there new existed a security other than the person and crops of the debtor. Both the necessity for credit rationing and for extreme vigilance at harvest time would be greatly reduced—in fact some savkars—night now positively

encourage the accumulation of debt in order to appropriate both the profits of land the earnings of labour. Not now was land an illiquid asset: by the early 20th century there was a steadily growing volume of transactions in this market, and the savkar could readily turn him property back into each. So the croplien system was abandoned partly because of improvements in the economic situation of a section of the peasantry, but mainly because of the change in the savkar's security as regards all landowning peasants.

Cases of concomitant variation

If this explanation of the disappearance of the practice of interlocking or crop lien is correct, we should expect to find it to persist in areas where land as security was unavailable or uncertain. One such area was the tribal-inhabited belt of bills and forests that fringed the plains of the Decean. The inaccessibility of tresc lands would lead to their entering the market much later than those of the plains it was, in fact their marginality that left them in the possession of the Bhils at all. Furthermore, dispossessing the Bhils would be productive of little advantage as caste Hindu settlers shunned these fever-ridden areas. Where dispossession was feasible, however, it would generally occur, as for instance in Shirpur taluks of West Rhandoch district, where between 1998 and 1917 the Bhils lost much land to Mabis. Rejputs and Gujars. (B.G.S. 568 (M.S.):12). Hence we may take it that the Bhils survived as cultivators only whose the land was in of little volume. any case, poor and marginal, i.e./In the 20th century they received some slight additional protection in the creation by the Government of a special inclienable tenure intended to prevent total expropriation. In the terms of security for

loans, therefore, the Bhil in the 20th century was in much the same situation as the ordinary Deccan peasant had been in the early decades of the 19th.

But surprisingly, the savkars persisted with the same methods. W.G. Pedder wrote of Pimpalner taluka, West Khandesh in 1869:

The situation had hardly charged when the Collector of West Khandesh gave evidence to the Royal Courission on Agriculture in 1927:

The <u>sowcar</u> advances the Mauchi or Bhil money against his crop before it is even sown and provides seed; when the crop is ripe the <u>sowcar</u> takes the whole of it and credits the cultivator with what may, or may not be the value less advances; the Mauchi then draws on account from the <u>sowcar</u> what money he wants or he can get, and the account is kept running indefinitely. (R.C. Eg. II Pt. I: 287).

As another official describing the same situation had occassion to remark in 1914:

Thus, in spite of the protection efforded by the tenure, the Bania continues to get the lion's share out of the land, and if he is not the master of the land, he is certainly the master of the nominal master of the land. (L.R.A.R. 1913-14 Pt.II: 54).

The other instance of the nature of security determining the operations of the savkar is the case of gul production. As the canal system case to irrigate portions of the Western Decean, the water was increasingly utilized for the intensive production of sugarcane, and the making of gul. This. involved large expenditures - upto Rs. 1000 an acre, and also generated a large demand for credit. Initially yields were high and prices good, and few precautions had to be taken; the Poona capitalists who lent in Pimpalgaon, Poona district, "lend on simple account without bond or security, as the people grow sugarcane on cased land". But, the villagers added, "The Poona men never advance more than the value of the crop, so they are never unable to pay them". (D.A.R.A. Rept. 1891-2: A-4). Crop security again became important because the loans would often exceed the value of the land, and, inany case the crop was often grown by tenants, who, equipped with capital an techniques, moved into the newly created canal zones. By the 1920s, however, gul production was no longer as profitable as at the turn of the century, as soil salinity and low prices took their toll. The recover of advances became a more serious problem. D.A. Shah, Asst. Registrar, Coop. Societies wrote in 1929:

Unexhausted soil and high prices of gul brought good profits to sugarcane grovers till a few years ago. As it was possible even for an inefficient agriculturist sugarcane with profit, many agriculturists to grow who should not have taken to sugmeane smoking. went in for it. The rise of income led to a higher standard of living and whatever surplus remained was utilised in extending sugarcane cultivation and in purchasing lends at high prices. The soil however showed signe of exhaustion resulting in lower yields and the high prices which were temporary, pridually went down and the reverse process began. For a year or two, or more, so long as the cultivator had money or credit and consequent command over money, he entertained the hope of a rise in prices, and persisted in what turned out to be a gamble ... There is no doubt that a number of careful, intelligent and discriminating agriculturists can and do still grow sugar same without a lose and even at a profit. But that cannot be true of the average sugarosme grower if an so long as the gul prices of 1927-28 persist.

In these circumstances the ecoperative had to look to the security for locas, in limits were fixed for amounts to be advanced, and almost all locas exceeding .500 "advanced on the mortgage of immovable property and also of the sar case crop if the finance were for sugar case appoints.....The scale of I made per acre had to be carbailed during the year 1927 and at present (1923) practically no member who cannot find his own money for initial expenses

and for plantation is financed for sugarcane". (H.L. Kaji ed. 1930; 14). Given the general economic conditions these measures proved insufficient to check the mounting arrears — overdues on the canal societies reaches almost 21 lakhs by 1928 ~ 29; for several years only insignificant recoveries had been made. (J.P.B.E.C. I: 75).

The savkars faced the same problem, and reacted in similar ways. Soth Prahladji Goverdhandas told the Bombay Banking Enquiry that the cost of production of sugarcane was Rs.600 per acre, he had advanced Rs.500, but in recent years, owing to the decline in production had finden advancing 5.300 to 400°. (ibid. II:). Tighter conditions also began to be encored, as P.C. Patil stated:

On the Deccan canals and in the Warna valley the sugarcane farmer generally gots loans for sugarcane cultivation from adatyss.... Not infrequently near Poons, the adatys secures his loan by taking a bond from the cultivator to the effect that the whole cultivation relongs to him (the adatys) and that the cultivator is his (the adatys) servent. (ibid.II:298)

Strict control over sales was necessary if the crop was the main security, and must have been widely resorted to; the Enquiry Report stated that the agent through whom the <u>Gul</u> was sold was "usually the moneylender, and frequently a wholesale dealer as well. The money advanced for sugarcane growing is almost invariably advanced on the security of the crop, with a condition attached that the <u>que</u> must be sold through the moneylender...the sale proceeds are credited to his account and the samplas, if any, given to him in cash. (ibid. I: 106).

That this was a functional necessity is shown by its widespread in election by the cooperative movement. In the 1950s, it was reported from Bombay bravince that the crop loan system was operating most satisfactorily with mand to sugarcane and notate.

In both of these the organisation of sale and purchase has been helpful for the organisation of oredit as well as for the regular operation of the credit system. The <u>our</u> purchase and sale societies existent in the various tracts have undoubtedly helped the extension of crop loan credit to sugaroane growers, through assistance in the recovary of crop loans immediately after the sale of our.

The Bombay Agricultural Debtor's Relief Act, there emerged a class of 'adjusted debtors', who could not legally alienate that rland - consequently, they had to be cut off from cooperablive credits, and it was for their benefit that loans with the crop as security were began in 1939. (N.C.S. II: 238). This problem has already arisen in the Complained in 1927:

The land tenure in the Central Provinces under which occupancy tenants cannot lease their holdings for more than a year, and cannot mortgage or sell their them is an obstacle to the growth of the cooperative movement.... (R.C.Ag., VI: 170).

The situation as regards private creditors was not so bad however.

a occupancy senant could surrender his holding to the landlord, who, for

an appropriate fee would re-grant it to the creditor. So, as another official stated, in the developed tracts

If a cultivator desires to transfer his holding he will do so, and if transfer is restricted by the right of preemption gives to a third person, the only result will best at the cultivator will love a part of the value received on the fer, in order to shot the month of the third party. (R.C ac 1511.344).

As long as rights in land remained a source of income means could be found to evade the law, and the situation could not become that of the early 19th century, when land was valueless - but cooperatives enuld not resort to such evasions. The depression of the 1930s substantially reduced both the rental and sale value of land, at the same time as legislation further reduced legal covers of recovery. The general situation for rural lenders may be inferred from the experiences of the Akola Contral Cooperative Bank:

As loans were made without very careful scrutiny and discrimination, coercive measures had to be taken for necovery of loans. In many cases, land of the borrowers wave attached through the courts and mortgages were foreclosed resulting in the acquisition of land by the Sank on a substantial scale. As the land values were also depressed, it was not possible through the cale of land in possession of the Bank, to recover even a reasonable proportion of the outstanding loans. Thus a crimes developed, and the Tark was on the verge of liquidation. An attempt was, however saide to save the bank from this fate, and a compromise was arrived at with the creditors of the Bank regarding repayment of their deposits, etc.

Within a short time after this compromise came the Second World far,

and agricultural prices and land values rose steeply. Many of the defculters repaid their debts and the Bank was able to sell the lands acquired during the depression at remunerative prices. The financial position of the Bank thus recorded an improvement during the forties.

As a result of these happenings the Bank adopted a policy of encouraging the formation of crop loan societies with limited liability, for the provision of short-term crop finance to the agriculturists, and also a very cautions loan policy. Firstly, loans were advanced to the crop loan societies for periods of nine to twelve months. Secondly, a ceiling limit of Rs.10 per acre or Rs.500 per individual member was prescribed. (R.C.S. Dist. Monograph, Abola p.150).

In addition to all this, mortgage of land was also insisted upon, with the deeds being deposited with the Dank, and provision was also made for recovery of overdues as arrears of land revenue, i.e. by summary process.

The problems faced by this Bank were the problems of all lenders in this period; we may also note that the Bank reacted by adopting many of the private moneylenders practices as precautions, as well as by arming itself with powers of recory far in excess of these available to the savkar. This evolution again bolsters the argument that the paykars methods were mainly functional adaptations to a particular economic environment.

The Rural Credit Survey

Apart from the economic hazards of landing in the thirties, there was for the private moneylender the additional rish arising from changes in the laws

both Dombay and Berar saw additions to the repertory of legislation protecting borrowers. There can be little doubt that the relations between lender and becrower adjusted themselves to this shock as to the earlier ones, but it also seems likely that the locally resident agriculturist lender, with his superior capacity for direct recovery, his willingness to accept imperfectly vendible security, and lack of easy alternative invostments, would become more prominent than the professional moneylender. Thus, in the seven districts of upland Manarashtra covered by the Rural Credit Survey, out of 457 moneylenders responding, 242 were also cultivators, and 60 were non-cultivating landowners; this despite the fact that, the definition of the Survey included only cultivators who lent substantially among moneylenders.

Nonetheless, the professional moneylender remained prominent, if the General schedule returns are reliable.

	Percentage of	Total Borrowing	of All Families	From
District	Lendlord	Acti.lender	Traders etc.	Prof.lender
Nagpur	3	nil	13	·42
Akola	5	27	4	38
W.Khandesh	nil	17	7	17
Poona	neg.	4	9	29
Kolhepur	1	nil	6	53
Osmanabad	neg.	54	8	7
Parbhani	11	8	17	39

Source: Vol.III Table 11.

It is interesting that Osmanabal, which was the only district where agriculturist moneylenders were more important than any other category of lender, also had a high degree of savkar control over marketing. Of the 44 moneylenders interviewed there, most reported advancing money against standing crops, "about one-fourth stipulated for possession of the crops; and nearly half of them actually handled the crops for marketing".

Of the 44 money lenders, 31 were also cultivitors, four landloads, 16 shop keepers, 11 traders and eight brokers and commission agents. (ibid). We should notice though, that the information in the Menograph and the data in Supply table 7 do not tally; in the latter the 44 money lenders of Osmanabad report no loans against either standing or harvested crops. Furthermore, the cultivators responding to the intensive enquiry in this district reported by advances etc. before sale of crop in only 6 out of 236 transactions. (VelI, 7t.2 Tables 21.103 and 104).

One would be inclined to regard the Monographs as more reliable sources for district conditions, were it not for the fact that at least three of them show such close resemblances in phrasing as to lead one to suppose that the text was written first, and the data filled in later.

The Rural Credit Survey also indicates that creditor control over marketing in sugarcane probably remained strong - at any rate this seems to be indicated by the fact that, if we tabulate the practices of moneylenders and traders in the five cotton-producing and the two sugar producing districts respectively, a striking contrast is immediately visible.

Percentage of Respondents reporting lowns against

District	Tra	ders	Money!	lendere
	Standing crop	Earvested crop	Standing Crop	Harvested crop
Five Cotton	16	7	4	e. Tr
Two Sugar	64	55	48	26

Source: Vol. II., Supply Tables 6 & 7.

The nature of the Rural Credit Survey's data make the elucidation of the relations behind the statistics of difficult — apart from discrapancies in the statistics indicated earlier. For example, the 'Upper strata cultivators' are found involved in pre-sale credit relations at least as frequently as lower strata cultivators, the percentages being 10.4 and 10.2, of all transactions respectively. (Tables 21.103 & 104) Again, a very high percentage of the total lending by traders and commission agents to the surveyed families was to the top strata, as the table below thows:

Percentages of Total Debt to Tradous and Commission Agents of All Cultivators, Classified by Serats of Cultivators

District	Big	Large	Medium	<u>Snall</u>
W. Khandesh	34	69	20	G
Akola	26	75	20	5
Osmanabad	49	62	32	. 8
Parbhani	28	39	42	20
Poona	22	62	31	6
Kolhapur	37	50	33	15
Nagpur	27	60	31	9

Source: Calculated from General Table 14, Vol. III, R.C.S.

Now it is improbable that all these persons were borrowing from the merchants on the same turns, but the format of the Survey prevents us from seeing how conditions may have differed. The monographs would be useful in this regard, though their exact ess is a moot point. However, as fur un they go, they seem to indicate substantial amounts of lending on the security of crops - thus ten out of fourteen traders at Dhulin market, West Khandesh an made advances to secure crops - and these amounted to no less than Ps. 315,000 in all. Figures of this sort are difficult to reconcile with the low level of borrowing from 'Traders and commission agents' reported in the general schedule tables. This may be either because the borrowers did not identify the lenders as traders, but used some comprehensive term as 'savkar' or 'shet', which was translated as 'Professional money-lender', or because lenders thought that sale through them was guaranteed by the loan, but corrowers did not wholly agree. Or finally, that the town merchants who had advanced against future sumply had done so to small merchants and rural dealers, and not directly to the cultivators themse /es. Questions of this sort the format of the Rural Credit Survey makes difficult to answer.

Conclusions

A particular structure of commodity production prose as a consequence of tax-demands in late eighteenth contary Maharashtra and generated a need for credit without which production, and consequently, both the productive and the unproductive classes would suffer. Consequently, a flow of credit did result. The problems of security for, and recovery of loans was also, resolved in various ways: but commonly by the lender having the power of direct distraint or self-help to enforce his claim. Or, to put it in another way, nobody without such power would lend on any scale - except perhaps to neighbours or fellow merchants.

British rule did not result in dramatic change. Resort to the more forcible methods may have decreased, and appeal to a court become somewhat more widespread - but misks and scale of operation changed little. Successful functioning still required local mesidence, personal knowledge and constant monitoring. The structure remained one of interlocked markets.

The real change came when another market came into operation; that in land. Now an additional, and tangible, security was available, and the moneylender could reduce his costs and extend his operations by relying on it. The vexatious enforcement of crop-lien lending and careful credit rationing could now be dropped. And so it largely disappeared; its explicit and implicit costs did not give any better returns.

That this argument explains the end of interlocking is evidenced by market behaviour in places and times when landed security was bad or unavailable:

solely to the evil-minded moneylanders a the cooperative societies similarly found themselves thrown back on security more tangible than natural goodness of the peasants, and initially found it in mortgage of land - but when this failed they too began to enforce a lien on the crop, to entrol the supply of inputs, and to dole out advances in kind. In short, they did as the savkars had done, but without making any money in the precess.

This clearly shows the functional character of the market structures, and bolsters the explanation advanced here. Furthermore, if this is indeed the explanation, then it would not be surprising if contemporary investigations were to find many cases of interlocking in private credit transactions; the recovery of private debt is slow and uncertain, the land market clogged with many restrictions and only a very unwise man would lend to someone over whom he had no paralegal hold to deferce recovery, if necessary. But such arrangements should not be viewed as survivals from the 18th century; like so much else, they are

HOTES

- In the western part of Poona district in 1854, a settlement officer wrote, the moneylander or his agent may be rendrally seen lurking about the ryot's stackyard when any thrashing is going on, ready to slip in and carry off the promised portion of the produce. There was obviously a limit to the number of stackyards the savkar could personally watch, and when his dealings became wider than this an explicit enforcement cost his agents' remuneration would appear in the account. See also p. bolow.
- 2. In the 1870s relations between creditors and debtors were particularly bad, and apart from the riots on 1875, there were several attacks on moneylenders by a 'social bandit', Honia Denglis. The resulting insecurity drove 'many of them from the outlying villages to local centres and cramped their efforts to collect their debts'.

 (B.A.R.D. Vol.25 of 1879 Rept. of A.Keyser pa.11.)
- 3. P.A. Reynolds, referring to conditions in the Misam's territory stated that savkars established themselves in the villages "with the view of purchasing up the produce: it was either purchased on his own account, or on account of some great firm whose agent he was; and he generally became the recipient of almost all the produce which was not consumed on the spot, or within a short distance of the place".

 (p.p. 1847-48, XI: 426).

- 4. Rumal AGR 26 or 1853, Record Office, Daule contains this correspondence. We should notice that the firm operated with the full support of the State, whose powers, formal and informal, were very greats on receipt of the complaint, the Collector's first impulse was to deprive the recalcitrant mosts of their land: He only refrained for fear that such action should frighten away all peasants from further dealings with the firm. (ibid:22).
- 5. In the tribal tracts of Bombay province the same precautions had to be taken by Cooperative Societies lending to the bhils: "Care was taken to see that the cash did not get into the hands of the terrowers as far as possible. If a member required seed or corn for maintenance or cloth or blankets or even bullocks, the society sanctioned his demand and passed it on to the agency concerned which was expected to supply the requirements. The debits were then transferred to the Bank in terms of money which in its turn debited the society concerned with the amount. Of course, it had to be seen at every stage that the individual member's or societies' credit was not exceeded."

 (3.L. Kaji ed. 1930: 18)
- 6. For a fuller account see Guba 1987.

References

Unpublished Materials

These have been referred to in conformity with the practice of the repositories where they are to be found, subject to the need for bravity. The abbreviations used are:

- J.D. Judicial Department
- I.O.M. India Office Records, London:
- B.R.P. Bombay Revenue Proceedings, in ditto.
- D.C.R. -- Deccan Commissioner's Records, Peshwa Daftar, Pune.

Published Materials

- P.P. Parliamentary Progres, Great Britain. These have been referred by year and volume number. Their pagination is not always consistent.
- E.G.S. perers to the series Selections from the Records of the Bombay Government, explusively the New Series (c. 1852 -). Reference is to the serial number of the volume concerned.
- E.I.P. This refers to: Selection of Papers from the Records at the

 East India House relating to the Revenue,

 Police, Civil and Criminal Justice under

 the Company's Governments in India,

 4 Vols. (London 1820-26).

ມິດດks

Anon. 1923

Sir J.Malcolita Revenue Report on halv. and sout to the Resident, November 1820. Augur?

Anduri. A. 1984

: The Economic it without of Businera Agriculture, Delhi.

Blyn, G. 1966

: Agricultural Thomas in India 1091-1937:
Output, Availability and Productivity.
Philodolphia

B.P.B.E.C.

Report of the Borbay Provincial arbirated Enquiry Committee 1929-30 4 Vola.

Borbay 1930.

Cassels, W.R. 1862

: Cotton: An Account of its Culture in the Londay Presidency. Bosbuy.

C.P.B.E.C.

: Report of the Central Provinces and Borer Madding Leading Cormittee & Vols. Calcutta 1990.

Choksey, R.D. ed. 1964

cd.1974

: Raja Pratapolity: of Schara. Pune

Dantwala, E.L. 1937

: Raja Shahji of Saters 1839-48: Select Documents. Pune

: The Municoting of Ray Cotton in Light.
Bombay.

D.A.R.A. 1891-92

* Report of the Commission appointed to enquire into the Working of the Ix scan Agriculturist's Relief Act.
Calcutta, 1898.

D.R.C.

: Report of the Committee on the Report
in Poons and Abselse or 1875
3 Vols. (Bonder 1876)

Franks H.G:

Punchayats Under the Peshwas.

Guha. S. 1985

* The America Feonogy of the Bombay Decom 1318 1941 Delhi.

H.A.D. Dept. 1878-79

2 Cotton Report for the Myderghad Assigned Districts 1870-79,

I.C.C.C. n.d.

: Indian Central Cotton Committee General Resort on Eight Investigations
into the Firence and Marketing of
Cultivator's Cotton in India. Bombay.

Jenkina 1966

· Report on the Territories of the Rajah of Nagnore, Nagpur

H.L.Kaji ed. 1930

: Co operation in Bombay: Short Studies

Kesting: 1912

: Rural Thomony in the Bembay Deccan.

A Memoir of Central India including

Malcolm, J. 1970

Malwa. Do hi

Rivett-Carrac 1869

Report on the Operations of the Cotton Department for 1867-68 and 1868-69. Bombay.

R.C. Ag.

Royal Commission on Assiculture in India,

R.C.S.

Reserve Bank of India - R ral Credit Survey 3 Vols. Bombay 1954

-

District Monograhs - Akola, West Khandesh, Osmanabad. Wardha S.R.

of the Wardha District of the Central Provinces. Nagur 1913.

Hoodburn, A.F. 1889

Report of A.F. Woodburn in Papers relating to the Working of the Decoan Assiculturist's Relief act 1079-1094 2 vols. Calcutta, 1897.

Papers

Bhardway, K. 1984: 'A View on the Commercialization of Agriculture in India. Journal of Peasant Studies Vol.12.

Coats, 7. 1823: 'Account of the Present State of the Township of Lony' in Transactions of the Literary Society of Lonboy, Vol. III.

Divekar, V. 1982: 'The Emergence of am Indigenous Dusiness Class in Muharashtra in the Eighteenth Century' Modern Asian Studies, Vol.16.

Guha.S. 1987 'The Land Market in Upland Maharashtra 1820 - 1960 'Indian Economic & Social History Review, XXIV, 2 - 3.

