

Gender, caste, class, and health care access

Experiences of rural households in Koppal district, Karnataka

Aditi Iyer*

**Small Grants Programme on Gender and
Social Issues in Reproductive Health Research,
Achutha Menon Centre for Health Science Studies,
Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology,
Trivandrum 695011, Kerala, India**

A project supported by the Ford Foundation

* Research Consultant, Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore; trained in Social Sciences and now in Public Health.

© 2005

Aditi Iyer

Published by

Achutha Menon Centre for Health Science Studies (AMCHSS)
Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology
Medical College
Trivandrum- 695 011
Kerala, India
[www. sctimst.ac.in](http://www.sctimst.ac.in)

Recommended citation:

Aditi Iyer;
Gender, caste, class and health care access:
Experiences of rural households in Koppal district, Karnataka,
Trivandrum,
Achutha Menon Centre for Health Science Studies, Sree Chitra Tirunal
Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, 2005.

Members of the Small Grants Programme Team:

TK Sundari Ravindran, Honorary Professor, AMCHSS, Trivandrum:
Co-ordinator
Tara Sadasivan, Jr. Research Assistant, AMCHSS, Trivandrum.

Team of resource persons:

Amar Jesani, CEHAT, Mumbai;
Mala Ramanathan, AMCHSS, Trivandrum;
Renu Khanna, SAHAJ, Baroda;
Shagufa Kapadia, MS University Baroda;
TK Sundari Ravindran, AMCHSS, Trivandrum,
Sunita Bandewar, CEHAT, Pune;
KR Thankappan, AMCHSS, Trivandrum;
Uday Shankar Mishra, Centre for Development Studies, Trivandrum.

Occasional technical support was also provided by

Geetanjali Misra, CREA, New Delhi and
Radhika Chandiramani, TARSHI, New Delhi.

Acknowledgements

The survey discussed in this report is an outcome of collective effort from the early research meetings between Gita Sen, Paul Jacob, Asha George and myself, to the consultations with Chandan Mukherjee at the Centre for Development Studies in Trivandrum, and the inputs provided by Amar Jesani and Sundari Ravindran in Mumbai. In between, were innumerable tasks that ultimately led to what is now a mammoth database with a million possibilities. The report presents only the beginnings of a conversation with the data; it does not presume to make a definitive statement about the experience of access to health care amidst rising health care costs. And yet, even this would not have been possible without the invaluable contributions of everybody mentioned below. Rather than summarise these efforts in a few paragraphs, I will recreate the process that was followed. It serves as a reminder of the days gone by and of all the people who have come our way. I would not like to forget them.

Preparatory phase:

Pre-survey research meetings: Gita Sen, Paul Jacob, Aditi Iyer, Asha George

Focus group discussions: Vani Periodi, Anita Gurumurthy

Sample selection:

Design of sampling strategy & frame: Paul Jacob

Creation of sampling frame & selection of households: Asha George, Aditi Iyer, Paul Jacob

Creation of address book: Randesh at IT for Change

Backup support: Gurumurthy Kashinath and Randesh at IT for Change

Interview schedule:

Design: Aditi Iyer, Paul Jacob, Gita Sen, Asha George

Design review: Margaret Whitehead, Sunil Nandraj

Translation: Anita Gurumurthy, Sowbhagyavathi, Venkatesh Murthy, Lakshminarayan

Kannada typing: Uma, Geetha Raghunathan, Lakshminarayan Rao, Nagaratna

Proof reading Kannada version: Sarath

Training and pilot testing (first round):

Trainers: Shailbya Saldanha; MS Venkatesh Murthy, B. Lakshminarayan, Sowbhagyavathi

Interviewees: Sangha women visiting Koppal; Sangha women and their families at Naregal

Training and pilot testing (second round):

Training design (away from the field): Umashankar Periodi

Training design & implementation in the field: Amrutha, Aditi Iyer, Asha George,

B. Lakshminarayan, M.S. Venkatesh Murthy

Interviewees: Sangha women and their families at Allahnagar

Data collection

Supervision of research team: Aditi Iyer, Asha George, M.S. Venkatesh Murthy, B. Lakshminarayan

Logistics management: Asha George, Aditi Iyer with Uma, Shankamma M., Renuka K., Parasuram, Bheemanna

Interviewers:

The women (in alphabetical order): Kusuma, Lalitha, Laxmi, Laxmibai, Manjuladevi, Nagaratna, Neelamma, Renuka, Rudramma, Sampatkumari, Shankamma P., Uma, Vijayalaxmi.

The men (in alphabetical order): Devendrappa, Mahadevappa, Mounesh, Pradeep, Ramesh, Sanneappa, Sharanappa G., Sharanappa, Veerappa, Yallappa D., Yallappa, Yankangowda.

Post data collection**Scrutiny programme:**

Design: Aditi Iyer

Design review and editing: Asha George

Scrutiny team: H.S. Veena, M.S. Venkatesh Murthy, V.R.N. Sharma, Bhaskar Achar

Compilation of qualitative notes:

Field notes: Asha George, Aditi Iyer

Translation of additional qualitative information in the interview schedules: Scrutiny team

Typing: Lita Mishra

Illness descriptions: Aditi Iyer, Soumya B.V. building on summarised descriptions by the scrutiny team

Database design:

Aditi Iyer, with inputs from Ashish Kumar, Chandan Mukherjee and Prof. Nagdevara

Data entry:

Supervision of data entry operators: Aditi Iyer, Soumya B.V.

Data entry operators: Datamation Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Quality checks (20 per cent sample): Aditi Iyer, Soumya B.V. with inputs from Chandan Mukherjee

Post-data entry validity checks & completion of database:

Aditi Iyer, Soumya B.V.

Data analysis consultations:

With the field research team for the Health Provider Survey: Fakhiramma B., Parvathi G, Parvathi P, Sanneappa T., Ramesh K. and Sharanappa G. in Koppal

With H. Somashekar in Bangalore

With Chandan Mukherjee in Trivandrum

With Gita Sen in Bangalore and Delhi

With Sundari Ravindran, Amar Jesani, U.S. Misra and fellow grantees in Mumbai

With Margaret Whitehead and colleagues at the Department of Public Health, University of Liverpool

The survey was conducted for the 'Gender and Health Equity Project' in Koppal district with funding from the MacArthur, Rockefeller and Ford Foundations, and SIDA. The research on access to health care and its affordability is linked to the ALPS (Affordability Ladder Programme) network coordinated by Margaret Whitehead and Goran Dalhgren at the University of Liverpool. The Small Grants Programme supported me while I crawled towards the analysis described in this report and financed some post-survey fieldwork. I am grateful to Sundari Ravindran and Tara Sadasivan for their support, patience and grace.

Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	vi
Executive summary	ix
CHAPTER 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Situating the present research	2
1.2 Conceptualising access to health care	4
1.3 Scope of the research	6
CHAPTER 2 Methodology	7
2.1 Sampling strategy	7
2.2 The survey tool	9
2.3 The survey team	9
2.4 The research process	9
2.5 Dilemmas and challenges	11
2.6 Note on how morbidity was recorded	12
CHAPTER 3 Key equity stratifiers	13
3.1 Caste	13
3.2 Class	13
3.3 Class characteristics of caste groups	15
3.4 Kinship groups	15
CHAPTER 4 Nature of health care needs and access	19
4.1 Magnitude of health care needs	19
4.2 Duration and “severity” of short-term and long-term illnesses	21
4.3 Overall patterns of access to health care	21
CHAPTER 5 Structural inequalities in access to health care: Intra-household differences	28
5.1 Inequalities by membership of kin groups	28
5.2 Gender and age - based inequalities	32
5.3 Gender and marital status - based inequalities	35

	Page
CHAPTER 6	
Structural inequalities in access to health care: Inter-household differences	37
6.1 Gender and class-based inequalities	37
6.2 Gender and caste-and class-based inequalities	39
CHAPTER 7	
Conclusion	45
REFERENCES	47
FIGURES	
Figure 4.1 Percentage of distribution households treating all sicknesses by number of sicknesses per household for each religious/caste group	20
Figure 4.2 Percentage of distribution households treating all sicknesses by number of sicknesses per household for each landowning group	20
Figure 4.3 Access to health care for short-term sicknesses	22
Figure 4.4 Access to health care for short-term sicknesses	23
Figure 5.1 Members of kin group ever-treated for short-term sicknesses	28
Access to health care for long-term sicknesses by selected groups of members	
Figure 5.2a Heads of household and spouses	30
5.2b Married children and spouses	30
5.2c Married and unmarried children	30
5.2d Mothers-in-law, daughters-in-law	30
Figure 5.3 Short-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals ever treated by sex and age intervals	32
Figure 5.4a Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals currently being treated by sex and age intervals	33
5.4b Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals discontinuing treatment by sex and age intervals	34
5.4c Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals never treated by sex and age intervals	34
Figure 6.1 Short-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals ever-treated by sex and income group	37

		Page
Figure 6.2a	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals currently being treated by sex and major source of household income	38
6.2b	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals discontinuing treatment by sex and major sources of household income	39
6.2c	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals never treated by sex and major source of household income	39
Figure 6.3	Short-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals ever treated by sex and caste groups	40
Figure 6.4a	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals currently being treated by sex and caste groups	42
6.4b	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals discontinuing treatment by sex and caste groups	42
6.4c	Long-term sicknesses: percentage distribution of individuals never treated by sex and caste groups	43
TABLES		
Table 2.1	Number of villages, households, and sample households in each stratum	7
Table 2.2	Interview schedule	8
Table 4.1	Percentage distribution of the major reasons why short-term and long-term sicknesses were not treated	24
Table 5.1	Differences in access to health care by membership status	29
Table 5.2	Sex differences in access to health care by marital status	36
ANNEXURE		
Annexure 1	Organising framework	49
Annexure 2	Interview schedule	51
Annexure 3	Caste categorisation	70
Annexure 4	Tables 1-11 (Chapters 5 and 6)	72

Executive summary

The research discussed in the report revisits the notion of access to health care in Koppal, an economically disadvantaged district in northern Karnataka. This issue is important to households experiencing the effects of economic and health sector reform. It is now common knowledge that such reforms have benefited the rich and middle classes but not the poor. Routine household health surveys do help us understand how iniquitous access to health care can be, but offer limited opportunities for a gender analysis along the crosscutting axes of caste, class, age and life stage. Such an exercise is more complex, but necessary, as a nuanced understanding would be impossible without it.

In its conceptualisation of access to health care, the study draws upon the framework outlined by Meera Chatterjee, which speaks of access as requiring the negotiation of barriers beginning with the individual and progressively involving her/his family, and ultimately the state/market in health care. The study also seeks to bring in insights from the social relations framework.

The data for this study is drawn from a large household survey conducted by a research team, including the author of this report, at the Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore. The survey included a section on self-reported morbidity, treatment-seeking and expenditure. It was conducted in 56 villages in two *talukas* of Koppal district where an action research project focussing on gender and health equity is located. The households were selected through a stratified sampling method in which PHC affiliation, caste, and economic class status were key. Information about caste and class was obtained from the results of a household census conducted six months before the survey. A 12.5 per cent sample was selected in a circular systematic fashion, with equal probability, after a random start. Locally recruited and trained interviewers who worked in “man-woman” pairs conducted the interviews with household heads and other individuals in the household.

The findings contained in this report are descriptive; the intention is to merely sketch how inequities appear to work in a backward agrarian region that struggles to survive periodic drought. Caste, class, and gender are discussed in terms of their influence over treatment-seeking decisions for short-term and long-term sicknesses. Other axes discussed, but individually, are age, marital status, and membership status within kin groups.

The study shows that access to health care is an important issue in poor villages in a district like Koppal, where 82 per cent of the households had one or more sick persons requiring

treatment. Although households did try to keep pace with growing demand for health care, inequities along the crosscutting axes of caste, class, gender, age, and life stage resulted in treatment for some, but not for all. Middle caste and middle class households were particularly hard-pressed to meet all of the growing needs for health care.

Severity of sickness, identified by difficulty experienced in carrying out daily routines, interacted with structural inequalities manifest in sex, caste and class, age and life stage; and the two together influenced treatment-seeking decisions. These combined effects were strongest, for women and men, vis-à-vis sicknesses that extended for a long duration, i.e., an average of two years. They were less obvious in the case of short-term sicknesses that lasted for an average of eight days. Men enjoyed unconditionally good access to health care for short-term sicknesses, but conditional access for long-term sicknesses. In contrast, women had consistently lower levels of conditional access for any type of sickness.

Inequities were fluid and situation-specific, at times, even though they are defined within a set of more enduring parameters. They changed over a lifetime. They were also affected by life events like marriage. At any rate, the axes were clearly interlinked and their interrelationships gave rise to a number of scenarios. When the entitlements emanating from one reinforced the other, the net result was highly positive. When the (dis)entitlements deriving from one axis reinforced those prescribed by the others, the net result was highly negative. But when the entitlements emanating from one axis countered the (dis)entitlements emanating from other axes, there were mixed results. However, the axes also had fixed effects at times.

Through the analysis, we saw how class-based inequities were more sharply defined than caste-based inequities. This might suggest that access to health care is now a function of economic status, of purchasing power, of affordability. However, caste and class together formed a powerful framework defining very stark inequities within each caste, between men and women, and across castes. SC/ST women who lived in households that subsisted on casual wage labour were the worst off.

Finally, women and men experienced economic barriers differently. Apart from marginalized women like married daughters in their natal homes, and SC/ST women in casual wage earning households who encountered economic barriers, most other women suffered from lack of acknowledgement of their needs. These responses stem from the gender biased normative structures that govern households. Men, on the other hand, who benefited from these frameworks, were less controlled by the initial barriers. Their major impediment was economic, and the lack of resources to pay for health care in the poor agrarian region in which they found themselves, ultimately deterred treatment-seeking